

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

IN RE: APPLICATION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FOR ORDERS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 2703(d)

Case No.
January 24, 2022

APPLICATION OF THE UNITED STATES FOR ORDERS PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. § 2703(d)

The United States of America respectfully submits this application for three separate orders, each directed to a different company, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2703(d). This application includes a request for nondisclosure pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2705(b). The proposed orders would require (1) Twitter, Inc. (“Twitter”), located at 1355 Market Street, Suite 900, San Francisco, California 94103, (2) GlowHost.com, LLC (“Glowhost”), which accepts service of process at P.O. Box 1442, Palm City, Florida 34991, and (3) Google LLC (“Google”), located at 1600 Amphitheatre Parkway, Mountain View, California 94043 (each a “Provider” and collectively, the “Providers”), to disclose certain records and other information pertaining to accounts with the following identifiers, as described in Part I of Attachments A to the proposed orders:

<u>Provider:</u>	<u>Account identifiers:</u>
Twitter	Username: @nuttiestjudge URL: https://twitter.com/nuttiestjudge
Twitter	Username: @nutttiestjudge URL: https://twitter.com/nutttiestjudge
GlowHost	Website/Domain: https://www.thefamilycourtcircus.com Internet Protocol Address: 138.128.163.42
Google	Email address: charlotteobserver1@gmail.com

The records and other information to be disclosed are described in Part II of Attachments A to the proposed orders. In support of this application, the United States asserts:

Legal Background

1. Each Provider is a provider of an electronic communications service, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2510(15), and/or a remote computing service, as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2711(2). Accordingly, the United States may use a court order issued under § 2703(d) to require the company to disclose the items described in Part II of Attachments A to the proposed orders. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 2703(c)(1) and (c)(2).

2. This Court has jurisdiction to issue the proposed orders because it is “a court of competent jurisdiction,” as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 2711. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 2703(d). Specifically, the Court is a district court of the United States that has jurisdiction over the offense being investigated. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 2711(3)(A)(i).

3. A court order under § 2703(d) “shall issue only if the governmental entity offers specific and articulable facts showing that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the contents of a wire or electronic communication, or the records or other information sought, are relevant and material to an ongoing criminal investigation.” 18 U.S.C. § 2703(d). Accordingly, the next section of this application sets forth specific and articulable facts showing that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the records and other information described in Part II of Attachments A are relevant and material to an ongoing criminal investigation.

The Relevant Facts

4. The United States government and law enforcement officers with the Connecticut State Police are investigating one or more persons (the “Targets”) for possible violations of 18 U.S.C. § 875(c) (threatening interstate communications) and 18 U.S.C. § 2261A(2) (stalking).

Posts on the Target Website

5. From approximately August 2020 to January 23, 2022, the Targets have made numerous public postings to an online blog with domain name www.thefamilycourtcircus.com (the “Target Website”), which law enforcement believes is being operated by the Targets. The posts encourage its readers to engage in acts of physical violence, intimidation, and harassment against several citizens of the State of Connecticut, including specific judges, court personnel, and private citizens that are specifically identified in the postings (the “victims”). These posts against the victims are based upon their connection to several Connecticut state Family Court cases, and are also often based, in part, on the victims’ race, religion, sexual orientation.

6. Several of the victims identified in these posts have informed law enforcement that they fear for their lives and the lives of their family members as a result of these posts.

7. Below are examples of some of the recent threatening posts on the Target Website:

a. A post on the website, dated August 29, 2020, identifies two specific Connecticut state court judges by name and states, “Perhaps the facts are finally before the people that these judges are indeed domestic enemies of the Constitution, enemies of the people, terrorists in black robes. The Tree of Liberty thirsts for the blood of these tyrants. 308 ball ammo preferred or as the Ninth Circuit upholds: “a 50 cal in the head soon.” Law enforcement believes “308 ball ammo” and “.50 cal” are references to ammunition used in firearms.

b. A post on the website, dated October 16, 2020, identifies several individuals by name and states “when the government becomes the enemy, the people being left with only the retort of a rifle and .308 ball ammunition. This is the time that blood of tyrants like [last names of specific individuals] is needed to refresh the tree of liberty.”

c. A post on the website, dated December 20, 2020, refers to Connecticut guardian ad litem (“GAL”), who are appointed in Connecticut state court cases to represent minors. The post states, “In very simple terms, hunting season is now open on Connecticut GALs, . . . Every idiot on the GAL List is now fair game for litigation, along with a 50 cal to the head or a long range .308 shot thru two panes of glass.” The post also states, “The hunting starts now. . . . GALs should be very, very, very scared !!! There is no shield and no place to hide!!!” The post includes a photograph of a high-powered rifle with a scope and a link to a website containing personal identifying information about all Connecticut GALs, includes their names, phone numbers, attorney identification numbers, and city where their offices are located.

d. A post on the website, dated December 30, 2020, is titled “Shoot the Lawyers.” The post describes what caliber of ammunition can be used: “.50 cal to the head” of “GALs.” The post then makes reference to court-appointed experts, stating, “Tired of the court scam of appointed ‘psychologists’ to charge ridiculous amounts of money to apply jewish psycho-babble in the fraudulent form of a ‘forensic evaluation’, more precisely known as a ‘baseless biased opinion’ directed by the judge? Pop! A .45 right between the eyes provides a cranial therapeutic probe, which not only cures the delusion of expertise, it also serves to discourage other quacksters from promoting similar snake-oil in a public forum.” Law enforcement believes “.45” is a reference to a caliber of ammunition.

e. A post on the website, December 31, 2020, post encourages physical violence against attorneys and judges in Connecticut. The post states, “Let’s expound upon the wisdom of Dick The Butcher in William Shakespeare’s play Henry VI . . . Let’s kill all the lawyers.” The post also states, “Why take an entire day off work to go to the state house to wait in line to speak for 3 minutes on how a jewish [sic] lawyer appointed as a GAL plundered the family savings account while providing unfounded recommendations? A .50 cal to the head takes care of the problem. Not only is there no GAL left to file a motion of contempt for non-payment, the word gets out quickly that GALs are not immune to bullets.” The post identifies a specific retired Connecticut judicial branch attorney by name and states, “Of great application of bullets to the right of public scrutiny would be the use of belt-fed ammo to the judicial chambers. . . . always start by shooting up [the retired attorney’s] office” then making “some chewy kosher sausages out of him.”

f. A post on the website, dated February 5, 2021, identifies a specific Connecticut state court judge by name and states “Perhaps a .50 cal to [the judge’s] head would focus the attention of the judiciary on executing its duties to the people’s standards without covering for the human filth in black robes passed off as judges to an unsuspecting populace. Put shit on the bench, lead shot will eliminate it.”

g. A post on the website, dated February 6, 2021, is titled “Judicial Assassination.” It identifies a specific Connecticut state court judge by name and states “There lies the constitutional case for the assassination of [the judge]. A .50 cal to the head, a .308 sniper shot from the grassy knoll through two panes of window glass, complete and rapid discharge of a high capacity magazine in a dark alley. Burn the courthouse to the ground, bring body bags.”

h. A post on the website, dated February 17, 2021, identifies a specific individual who was nominated to be a Connecticut state court judge. The post discloses her home address and a picture of her residence. The post states that the individual is “Another blackish puppet doing her jewish master’s bidding. . . . [T]he woods behind her house provide cover and concealment for disgruntled white litigants who will appear before her black bench, to hurl racial slurs with a night scope. Paint ‘black lives matter’ on the sidewalk. Wake up her white neighbors with megaphones and gunshots, remind them of their evil whiteness that oppresses the fat black lady next door. . . . Only the Second Amendment can save the people from these political parasites, .308 ball ammo preferred.”

i. A post on the website, dated March 8, 2021, states “Happy .308 day! More justice can be dispensed in one bullet than by all of Family Court. Celebrate the Second Amendment as protection from the pedophiles who run family court. Judicial discretion falls to the report of a rifle.”

j. A post on the website, dated November 23, 2021, identifies a specific Connecticut attorney by name and states that the attorney “is a jew’s jew. Profiting off the misfortune of others. Her mother must be proud!” The post further states, “Is [the attorney] in line for a .50 cal to the head or is there a Red SUV in her future?” The post includes a photograph of a bullet and a red sports utility vehicle (SUV). U.S. Authorities believe the “red SUV” is a reference to an incident earlier that week in the state of Wisconsin where 5 people were killed when a red SUV drove into a holiday parade.

k. A post on the website, dated December 22, 2021, post identifies a specific Connecticut government attorney by name and discloses his home address. The post is titled “Hunt [last name of attorney]!” The post states, “Look for a small army of Connecticut mothers

hunting down [names of attorney, his wife, and children], but soon to be raw political mince meat fed to the dogs. . . . “Do not underestimate the force of a single .308 ball to the head, or the accuracy of a well adjusted night scope, and fine trigger control.” The post also makes reference to a specific family court case and further states, “That is the entire purpose of the jew racket is to ensure that DCF does not interfere with the jewish tricks of the court. [The attorney] is proud of the jew’s separation of powers doctrine when it comes to raping childhood.” It goes on to state, “A private club of jews in black robes raping childhood is being defended by [the attorney]. Let the wrath of sovereign mothers correct the insanity.” The post also states that the attorney “does he represent the interest of a sovereign people, as he will soon find out when the silent mob of mothers, bearing fiery candles, gathers outside his house at [attorney’s home address].”

1. A post on the website, dated January 6, 2022, identifies two specific Connecticut state court judges and two specific Connecticut attorneys by name. The post states that “a well regulated parental militia must protect the children from the harm of the jews of evil family court, [first identified judge] gets a .50 cal to the head[.]” Jews [two identified attorneys] can suffer a similar fate in the name of protecting children. Nigger Boy [second identified judge] gets dragged off the bench to swing in a tree with a noose around his neck, hoisted by parents[.]” The post further states, “As Dick the Butcher suggests, first kill all the lawyers, then the judges, but slowly and painfully[.] . . . Children are precious, in need of protection from the domestic terrorism of jew family court judges, Second Amendment has a purpose, parental discretion chooses the calibre of the shot that lets freedom ring. Jewish judges are a true threat to children.” The post then states, “protect the children, shoot a judge, and a lawyer, and a GAL, and a therapist . . . a few clerks, and the big nigger in the black robe who jacks off to the sound of

children’s screams.” The post displays a photograph of five rifles with the caption “Choice of calibre is parental discretion.”

GlowHost Account

8. Based on publicly available records, law enforcement has determined that since August 29, 2021, the Target Website has been hosted on a server with internet protocol address 138.128.163.42, which is managed by GlowHost, an internet service provider in Florida. Prior to August 29, 2021, the Target Website was hosted on a server belonging to a web hosting company in the Netherlands.

Twitter Accounts

9. On or about October 13, 2021, law enforcement located a Twitter user that posted on his/her Twitter page links to the posts on the Target Website. The links were posted on Twitter on the on the same day that the posts appear on the Target Website. No other posts appear on the user’s Twitter page. The Twitter user’s username was the “NUTTIESTJUDGE” (available at <https://twitter.com/nuttiestjudge>). A search of Twitter shows replies to the user from other users as of December 24, 2021. Law enforcement viewed the Twitter page again on or about January 18, 2022, and Twitter lists the account as suspended. Accordingly, law enforcement believes the account was suspended sometime on or after December 24, 2021.

10. However, the same day, on January 18, 2022, law enforcement located a new Twitter user with username “NUTTTIESTJUDGE” (<https://twitter.com/nuttiestjudge>), with three “T”s in nuttiest instead of two “T”s. The Twitter page indicated the account was created in January 2022. Like the prior Twitter page, this user’s Twitter page contains links to the posts on the Target Website. The links were posted on Twitter on the on the same day that the posts appear

on the Target Website. The first post was on January 1, 2022 and the last post was on January 4, 2022.

11. Based on the foregoing, law enforcement believes that the two twitter accounts are likely being used by the same individual, and that the individual may be one of the Target Subjects or is working with the Target Subjects.

Google Gmail Account

12. As noted above, a post on the Target Website dated December 22, 2021, discusses a specific government attorney who works for the State of Connecticut. The post makes reference to a specific Connecticut Family Court case, references DCF (which is the acronym for the Connecticut Department of Children and Family Services), and accuses the attorney of defending Jewish judges who are raping childhood.

13. The next day, December 23, 2021, the attorney received an email from a “Timothy Thomas” who uses an email address that appears to suggest that he is a reporter with the “Charlotte Observer News.” The email is from “Timothy Thomas <charlotteobservernews1@gmail.com>.” Several other employees for the Connecticut state government, including other government attorneys, are copied on the email. The email contains references that are factually similar to references in the December 22, 2021 post on the Target Website, including a reference to the same Connecticut Family Court case, references DCF, and states, “You advocate for child abuse by rogue judges, acting outside the law.”

14. One of the recipients of the email told law enforcement that they have received additional emails from the same person.

15. The Charlotte Observer News website contains a directory of staff members and their email addresses, and no one by the name of Timothy Thomas appears on the website.

Moreover, the staff members that are listed on the website all have email addresses ending in “@charlotteobserver.com.” No one appears to have a gmail address.

16. Based on the above, law enforcement does not believe that Timothy Thomas is a real person who works for the Charlotte Observer News.

17. Moreover, given the similarities between the email and the website post, law enforcement has reason to believe the sender of the email may be one of the Target Subjects or is working with the Target Subjects.

Request for Orders

18. The facts set forth in the previous section show that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the records and other information described in Attachments A to the proposed orders are relevant and material to an ongoing criminal investigation. Specifically, these records will provide law enforcement with subscriber information for the accounts, recent login activity for the accounts including internet protocol addresses from where the accounts were accessed, information about devices used to access the accounts, and other email accounts used by individuals who accessed the account. The records being sought also will provide law enforcement with email header information (source and destination addresses) for emails sent to/from the Gmail account, but not the content of emails. The records and information will assist law enforcement in identifying and locating the Target Subjects and other individuals who are involved in the criminal offenses under investigation, and determining the nature and scope of their activities.

19. Accordingly, the United States requests that the Provider be directed to produce all items described in Attachments A to the proposed Orders.

20. The United States further requests that the Orders require the Providers not to notify any person, including the subscribers or customers of the account(s) listed in Attachments A, of the existence of the Orders for a period of one year, until January 24, 2023. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 2705(b). This Court has authority under 18 U.S.C. § 2705(b) to issue “an order commanding a provider of electronic communications service or remote computing service to whom a warrant, subpoena, or court order is directed, for such period as the court deems appropriate, not to notify any other person of the existence of the warrant, subpoena, or court order.” *Id.* In this case, such an order would be appropriate because the attached court order relates to an ongoing criminal investigation that is neither public nor known to the targets of the investigation, and its disclosure may alert the targets to the ongoing investigation. Accordingly, there is reason to believe that notification of the existence of the attached court order will seriously jeopardize the investigation, including by giving targets an opportunity to destroy or tamper with evidence, change patterns of behavior, or intimidate potential witnesses. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 2705(b).

Date: December January 24, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

LEONARD C BOYLE
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

NEERAJ N. PATEL
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
Federal Bar No. phv04499
157 Church Street, 25th Floor
New Haven, CT 06510
Tel.: (203) 821-3700
Email: neeraj.patel@usdoj.gov