INFORMATIO	N STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT	Disposition date
Police Case number 17000001120	Agency name Bloomfield Police	Agency number 011
Arrest Warran	nt	
Geographical area 14	State of Connecticut vs. Haidon, Lauren	<u> </u>
number	Officer of the State of Connecticut	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
• •	of the State of Connecticut, you are hereby commanded to arrest the body of the	
within-named ac	cused. ("X" all that apply)	
A. Accus	sed is ordered to be brought before a clerk or assistant clerk of the Superior Cour	t.
📋 B. Accus	sed is not entitled to ball.	
	3 or both are checked above, you shall without undue delay bring the arrested per sistant cierk of the Superior Court for the geographical area where the offense is	
	nlited, or if the clerk's office is not open, to a community correctional center with	-
	or the nearest community correctional center if no such center exists in the geog	raphical area, or to
the C	orractional institution, as the case may be.	Extradition boundaries established by prosecutor
C. Bail so	t at \$ 111,011 Cfs	
D. Non-fin	nancial conditions of release:	
	NPLY WITH ALL CIVIL AND /OR ILL' COUFT ORDERS RE: CUSTODE & VISITATION	
	ILY COUPT ORDERS RECUSTEDE & VISITATION	
🔲 E. Conditi	ons of release not determined by the court.	
1 44	gred/ugglog the Superior Court) Date / Name of the Judge (
By the Court	gned/udge of the Superior Court) Date Namo Whe Budge (Print or type)
By the Court	1/24/17 0/14	rini or type)
Return On A	rrest Warrant	1AMO
Return On A Geographical area number	rrest Warrant wn of Date	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area number Then and there, by	rrest Warrant	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area number Then and there, by	I 24/12 V/1W rrest Warrant wn of Date virtue of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant , I arrested the body of the within ng of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination.	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area number Then and there, by same in the hearin	I 24/12 V/1W rrest Warrant wn of Date virtue of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant , I arrested the body of the within ng of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination.	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area To number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area To number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic)	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic)	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic)	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	State of Connecticut
By the Court Return On A Geographical area area Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Offloer's signatur	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic)	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic)	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	State of Connecticut
Return On A Geographical To area number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic)	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	Judge
Return On A Geographical To area To number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	Judge
Return On A Geographical To area To number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	Judge
Return On A Geographical To area To number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	Judge
Return On A Geographical To area To number Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Officer's eignetic	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	State of Connecticut mamed accused and read the Judge
By the Court Return On A Geographical area area Then and there, by same in the hearin Attest(Offloer's signatur	Invest Warrant where of the within and foregoing complaint and warrant, i arrested the body of the within ig of said accused; and have said accused here in court for examination. re end Department)	Judge

۱

•

 $\{ \cdot \}_{i \in I}$

¢\$

This is page 2 of a 2 page information

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION	STATE OF CONNECTICUT			For Court Use Only			
JD-CR-84b Rev. 3-11 C.G.S &554-2a Pr. Bk. Sec. 36-1, 38-2, 38-3		SUPERIOR COURT www.jud.at.gov			upportin Yes	log Attidavils seatsd	
Police Case number 17000001120	Agency name Bloomfield Police	•			Agency 011	/ number	
Name (Last, First, Middle Initial) Haidon, Lauren		Residence (Town) of accused West Seneca	Court to be Hartford		(Town)	Geographical Area number	14

Application For Arrest Warrant To: A Judge of the Superior Court

The undersigned hereby applies for a warrant for the arrest of the above-named accused on the basis of the facts set forth in the: X Affidavit Below. Affidavit(s) Attached.

Date	Signed Prosecuting authority)	Typeppini name of prosecuting guilto even initypequit
1/23/17	1 ranel linnel	Dariche Clourell

Affidavit

The undersigned afflant, being duly swom, deposes and says:

1. That, I, Officer Brendan A. Danaher, have been a police officer since August 2011. I am employed by the Bloomfield Police Department currently assigned to the Patrol Division. I have received specialized training in the investigation of criminal and other matters. The facts and circumstances contained in this affidavit are from personal knowledge, investigation and Information supplied by brother and/or sister officers, or others acting in their official capacity.

2. That, on January 11, 2017 at approximately 20:46 hours I met with MATTHEW COULOUTE, DOB: 01/02/1970, at Bioomfield Police Headquarters in Connecticut on a child custody issue. COULOUTE, who has had continuous incidents with his now ex-wife, LAUREN HAIDON, DOB: 06/26/1982, stated HAIDON had violated their child custody agreement.

3. That, COULOUTE showed me the Separation Agreement dated April 23, 2015 from the Superior Court in Hartford (Docket # HHD-FA-14-4073278-S) specifically paragraph #6 under the section titled "Custody and Parenting Plan" which states "In addition to the foregoing, the parties shall have joint custody of the minor child (Sofia Couloute, DOB: 01/31/2011). Neither party shall remove the minor child permanently from the State of Connecticut without prior written approval of the other party or Court order."

4. That, COULOUTE stated the last time he saw his daughter, SOFIA, it was October 2016 and

(This is page 1 of a 3 page Affidavit)

Date			Bigned	(Afflant) Brendan Danaher	5 175
01/12/2017 04:14 pm			6	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Jurat	Subscritized and swom to befor	The on (Date)	8igned (J	unige/Cierk, Commissioner of S	Superior Court, Notary Public)
Finding					
considere an offense	,	ndersigned finds fro hat the accused cor	om sald aff nmitted it a	idavit(s) that there is pro	n, having been submitted to and obable cause to believe that able cause exists for the
Date and Signature	Signed At (City or town)	on (Date)		Kitiga / Judga Tital Referea)	Name of Judge/Judge Trial Referes

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-84a Rev. 3-11 C.G.S § 54-2e Pr. Bk. 8ec. 35-1, 38-2, 38-3 CFS #: 17000001120	STATE OF CONNECTIGUT SUPERIOR COURT www.jud.ct.gov	Bloomfield Poli	Ce	
Name (Last, First, Middle Initial)	Residence (Town)of accused	Court to be held at (Town)	Geographical	14
Haidon , Lauren	West Senece	Hartford	Area oumber	1.4

Affidavit - Continued

HAIDON had SOFIA at 212 Duncaster Road in Bloomfield where HAIDON was staying with a maje and his family. COULOUTE stated he believed SOFIA was there when HAIDON stated she was not there anymore and was staying at a Marriott Hotel. COULOUTE stated after that he found out through e-mail exchanges with HAIDON that she was in New York State however she would not tell him exactly where. COULOUTE stated he asked West Seneca Police Department to do a weifare check at HAIDON'S parent's residence (81 Liberty Lane, West Seneca, NY) however they found that HAIDON and SOFIA were not there. COULOUTE stated West Seneca Police Department did eventually locate SOFIA and HAIDON but would not disclose to COULOUTE where they were staying based on statements HAIDON made to law enforcement.

5. That, COULOUTE stated since HAIDON has been in New York State she was able to achieve temporary custody of SOFIA in New York however in Connecticut COULOUTE was able to file for contempt (which was granted) as HAIDON disregarded the child custody agreement. The signed order, which I viewed, clearly states that HAIDON needed the Connecticut courts permission or COULOUTE'S written permission. COULOUTE provided the documentation that showed neither he nor the Connecticut court approved HAIDON'S unknown relocation of SOFIA to New York State. COULOUTE stated he had e-mails where he asked HAIDON where SOFIA was and she still refused to tell him. COULOUTE stated he believed this was a custodial interference issue and wanted to press charges.

6. That, on January 11, 2017 at approximately 21:35 hours I spoke to Disp. DAVID VASQUEZ of the West Seneca Police Department, Disp. VASQUEZ was the individual who spoke to COULOUTE when he originally requested the welfare check, Disp. VASQUEZ stated his officers checked HAIDON'S parent's residence who informed them that SOFIA and HAIDON were at another relatives residence but they were fine and did not want to or simply did not disclose HAIDON'S location to the officers. Disp. VASQUEZ stated COULOUTE was not pleased with their welfare check outcome and failed to mention that HAIDON was in violation of a child custody agreement.

7. That, at approximately 21:55 hours I left a voicemail for HAIDON informing her of the situation and requested that she call me back so that we can establish a plan for the return of SOFIA to the State of Connecticut as I believe she is in violation of the child custody order. HAIDON called me back shortly after and confirmed that she was staying with her parents in West Seneca but did not want COULOUTE to know because of the history of abuse and the fact that she believes COULOUTE wants to kill her. HAIDON denied violating the order stating that she is not allowed to "permanently" relocate without COULOUTE'S permission and that she has only "temporarily" relocated to New York. HAIDON stated however she does not have any united

(This is page 2 of a 3 page Affidavit)

(This is pag	ge 2 of a 3 page Affidavit)							્રે	ile.1	
Date	01/12/2017 04:14 pm			Soundal	A	Mube!	78	0	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	
Jurat	Subscribed and sword byfore me	odData)				Astoner of Sup	arlor Court,	Noterý i	Public)	
Reviewed	(Prosecutorial Official)	Date/ 1/23/17	Review	ieli (Judge / .]	udge TVI	at Referent	>	Date 2	4/17	

- ;< ⁽

ARREST WARRANT APPLICATION

JD-CR-64a Rsv. 3-11 C.G.3 § 54-2s Pr. Bk. Sec. 38-1, 38-2, 38-3 CFS #: 17000001120	STATE OF CONNECTICUT SUPERIOR COURT www.jud.ot.gov	Bloomfield Police			
Name (Lee), First, Middle Inklei)	Residence (Town)of accused	Court to be held at (Town)	Geographical		
Haldon , Lauren	West Seneca	Hartford	Area number		

OF OCHUROTION

Affidavit - Continued

residence in Connecticut anymore and this is where she is staying to protect her daughter. I informed HAIDON that her temporary custody order granted in New York did not supercede Connecticut's order and she stated she understood. HAIDON then stated that she had e-mails from COULOUTE where he told her she could go to New York and did not care. HAIDON also stated she forwarded her address in New York to the Connecticut courts however it does not meet the condition of written permission from COULOUTE or the court.

8. That, after speaking to both individuals they sent me approximately a dozen e-mails showing various text message and e-mail conversations. After reviewing the e-mails specifically I believe COULOUTE was originally told by HAIDON that she was at her parent's residence in West Seneca ("Buffaio") however HAIDON gave COULOUTE the impression that she was kicked out and I observed many e-mails where he requested HAIDON'S specific address solely for the purpose of seeing SOFIA. During our phone conversation HAIDON stated that she did not want COULOUTE to know where she and SOFIA are, which is a violation of the custody agreement I reviewed.

9. That, based on the facts and circumstances contained herein, I have probable cause to believe that LAUREN HAIDON, DOB: 06/26/1982, has committed the crime of CGS 53a-97 Custodial Interference in the 1st Degree. I respectfully request that a warrant for the arrest of LAUREN HAIDON be issued.

							Soumliola
(This is pa	ge 3 of a 3 page Affidavit)						
Date	01/12/2017 04:14 pm	•	Signed (A	render A	To	178	
Jurat	Subscribed and sworn before me of OI/12/12	(Dato)		udge/Clerk, Coma		luperlar Court	C Notary Public)
Reviewed	(Prosecutories difficial)	1/23/17	Reviewer	(Judge / Judge Tr	tel Referee)	\geq	Data/24/17

EXTRADITION AUTHORIZATION REQUEST

	130	
PERSON MAKING REQUEST	Ofr. B. Danuher 179	
date of request	01/27/2017	di sama ang
CASE NUMBER	17-1120	
NAME AND DOB OF ACCUSED	Lauren Haidon 06/26/1982	
CHARGES	53a-97 Custodial Interference 2st Degree	
PAR NEW ENGLAND AND STATES I EAST COAST EAST OF MISSISSIPPI RIVER UNITED STATES INCLUDING P OTHER		

Comments:

. l

Do not release. Unless non-financial conditions are met.

78

APPROVAL STATE'S ATTORNEY'S OFFICE USE ONLY	
EXTRADITION DENIED APPROVED PARAMETERS	
REVIEWING INSPECTOR A KUSINSKI # 2261	ŧ.
SIGNATURE: CLANDERE KOSINSLY	-

combald and the for :

.....