

CONNECTICUT COURTS REPORT
4/16/2013

INDEX

1. Names of companies, individuals, or organizations that are involved (P.2-5)
 2. How the parties have violated the antitrust laws (P.5)
 3. Product or service affected by this conduct (P.5)
 4. The major competitors that sell the product or provide the service (P.5)
 5. Who is harmed by the alleged violations (P.5-6)
 6. How they are harmed (P. 6-7)
 7. My role in the situation in question (P.7)
 8. Examples of the conduct that I believe violates the antitrust laws (P.8-42)
- I. OVERVIEW (P.8)**
- II. AFCC CORPORATE HISTORY, LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS (P.10)**
- A. AFCC National Corporation Founded and Shut Down By Authorities (P.10)
 - B. AFCC's Cross Affiliated With Pedophiles, Fathers Rights Extremists Groups to Steer Federal Funding
 - C. AFCC Offices And Members Prosecuted By Authorities (P.14)
 - D. 1979: Judicial Branch Employees Establish AFCC Itself Within State Offices, Lobbies, Excludes Competitors (P.15)
- III. INDICATIONS OF CURRENT ANTI-TRUST ACTIVITIES IN CONNECTICUT (p.17)**
- A. The AFCC Has Been Doing Business With The Judicial Branch Without Registering or Disclosing Conflicts of Interest (P.17)
 - B. AFCC Dominates Oversight Positions To Control Outcome Of Complaints (P.18)
 - C. CT AFCC Conference-State Pays Judicial Officers Multiple Times To Train Themselves Through A Corporation Founded By Judicial Branch Employees (P.19)
 - D. Judicial Branch Contracts, Business Are Steered To Questionable AFCC Vendors (P.20)
 - E. Judge Munro, AFCC Control [no bid] GAL Training, Oversight, Contracts (P.24)
 - F. CT AFCC's Questionable Ties To Judicial Branch Contractors (P.26)
- IV. FRAUD BY AFCC MEMBERS ON CT COURT CASES (p.28)**
- A. HEATHER BOYNE V. PAUL BOYNE (Docket No: FA05-4018463) (P.28)
 - B. Evelyn Grajales v. Frank Bell [NNI-FA95-4013097] (P.32)
 - C. Sunny Liberti v. Robert Liberti (P.34)
 - D. Susan Skipp v. Shawn Tittle (P.37)

(1) What are the names of companies, individuals, or organizations that are involved?

A. CORPORATIONS

1. *Association of Family and Conciliation Courts, Inc.*
IL Business ID: 50708497
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/125540250/Association-of-Family-and-Conciliation-Courts-AFCC-Articles-of-Incorporation>
2. *Association of Family Conciliation Courts, Inc.* (Jessica Pearson, Frank Orlando, Ann Milne, Anthony Salius)
CT Business ID: 0126858
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/134086086/CT-AFCC-Center-for-Policy-Research-Articles-of-Incorporation>
3. *Center for Policy Research, Inc.* (Jessica Pearson)
CO Business ID:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/134086086/CT-AFCC-Center-for-Policy-Research-Articles-of-Incorporation>
4. *Connecticut Chapter of the AFCC, Inc.* (Munro, Adelman, Wetstone, etc.)
CT Business ID: **1097500**
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/135448763/CT-Judges-Found-AFCC-Trade-Organization-For-Family-Court-Professionals>
5. *Children's Center for Visitation, Inc.* (Nick Sarno, Louise Traux, Sandra Lax)
CT Business Registration #**0621601**
6. *Connecticut Resources Group, Inc.* (Sidney Horowitz, Howard Kreiger)
CT Business ID: **0596279**
7. *CRG Realty, LLC* (Sidney Horowitz)
CT Business ID: **0725584**
8. *Lax & Truax, LLC*
CT Business ID: **0604565**
9. *NJ Sarno & Co, LLC* (Nicholas Siconolfi)
CT Business ID: **0817403**
10. *Linda S. Smith, Ph.D, LLC* (Linda Smith)
CT Business ID: 107984

11. *Quinnipiac School of Law* (Lynda Munro, Carolyn Kaas)
CT Business ID:
12. *Scovill Street Medical Building, LLC* (Sidney Horowitz)
CT Business ID: **0209237**
13. *Welty Esposito & Wieler LLC Law Offices, PC* (Thomas Esposito)
CT Business ID: **0875822**
14. *Zaslow & Sander, LLC* (Robert Zaslow)
CT Business ID: **0852562**

B. GOVERNMENT

1. *Connecticut Judicial Branch*
2. *Connecticut Office of the Public Defender*

C. PEOPLE

1. *Abery-Wetstone, Holly*: Family court judge, founder of CT Chapter of AFCC, runs State's mandatory Guardian Ad Litem (GAL) certification program
2. *Adelman, Gerard I.*: Family court judge, founder of CT Chapter of AFCC, runs State's mandatory Guardian Ad Litem (GAL) certification program
3. *Armata, Barry*: Court appointed attorney/GAL, AFCC member, runs state GAL certification program
4. *Cousineau, Susan*: Court appointed GAL, Member of the Office of the Child Advocate Advisory Committee, founder of CT Chapter of the AFCC)
5. *Cummings-Teixeira, Phyllis*: Judicial Branch Manager, founded CT Chapter of the AFCC
6. *Drangenis, Anne C.*: Retired Chief Administrative Family Court Judge, attorney, AFCC board member
7. *Esposito, Thomas*: Court appointed attorney, founder of CT Chapter of the AFCC)
8. *Freedman, Bruce*: Court appointed psychologist, founder CT Chapter of the AFCC)
<http://www.linkedin.com/pub/bruce-freedman/40/567/379>
9. *Giovannucci, Marylou*: Judicial Branch Manager, oversees AFCC contracts

with Judicial Branch, Founder of CT Chapter of the AFCC

10. *Grant, Stephen:* Judicial Branch Manager, former AFCC President, oversees AFCC contracts w/ Judicial Branch
11. *Horowitz, Sidney S.:* Court appointed psychologist, custody evaluator, paid by Judicial Branch to conduct professional trainings, AFCC member and AFCC conference committee member
12. *Horowitz, Robert:* Court appointed psychologist, founder of CT Chapter of the AFCC
13. *Kaas, Carolyn:* Director of Quinnipiac Law School's Children and the Law Clinic, hosts AFCC events, provides court appointed legal services to Judicial Branch.
14. *Kreiger, Howard M.:* Court appointed psychiatrist, custody evaluator, paid by Judicial Branch to conduct professional trainings
15. *Kulak, Debra:* Judicial Branch manager, AFCC committee member, founder of CT Chapter of AFCC)
16. *Lax, Sandra:* GAL, attorney, trains GAL's, has law firm affiliated with NJ Sarno's supervised visitation services.
17. *Munro, Linda Batter:* Chief Admin. Judge of Family Court, founder of the CT Chapter of the AFCC, oversees Judicial Branch federal grant programs, AFCC contracts with Judicial Branch, founded runs State's mandatory Guardian Ad Litem (GAL) certification program
18. *Salius, Anthony J.:* Judicial Branch manager, founded AFCC, AFCC Past president, oversees AFCC contracts w/ Judicial Branch, sits on DOJ OJJDP State grant advisory committee)
<http://www2.dsgonline.com/sag/State.aspx?State=CT>
19. *Siconolfi, Nicholas:* Operates a supervised visitation business affiliated with Lax & Truax. Sanitation business owner who also goes by the alias "Nick Sarno." Related to Judicial Branch Manager Anthony Siconolfi.
20. *Smith, Linda Santos:* Court appointed psychologist, founder of CT Chapter AFCC
21. *Sullivan, Deborah:* Legal Counsel for the Office of the Public Defender, oversees GAL program and all open records requests for the Public Defender's Office.

22. *Tompkins, Robert*: Judicial Branch manager, founded AFCC, AFCC Past president, oversees AFCC contracts w/ Judicial Branch
23. *Truax, Louise*: GAL, attorney, trains GAL's, has law firm affiliated with NJ Sarno's supervised visitation services.
24. *Zaslow, Robert D.*: Court appointed attorney, incorporated the CT Chapter of the AFCC using the Judicial Branch's address)

(2) How do you believe they have violated the antitrust laws?

The people involved have offices in multiple States (CT, FL, CA, MI, CO, WI, OH, MA, etc.), and have entered into agreements with each other to unlawfully and unreasonably restrain trade for the purpose of monopolizing and unfairly eliminating all competition within the marketplace. They have conducted what appears to be numerous anticompetitive mergers and tie-in schemes, and, in some circumstances, price discrimination in the sale of commodities that borders on extortion.

(3) What is the product or service affected by this conduct?

1. Insurance companies who provide coverage to AFCC members and their patients.
2. The CT Judicial Branch's Administrative programs and contracts which are operated by AFCC members (Munro, Kulak, Tompkins, Salius, Grant, Cerutti, Giovannucci), such as the court's staff training programs, State and federally funded mediation programs, Court Support Services Division family court services;
3. CT Judicial Branch Family Courts which are staffed by AFCC Judges (Munro, Adelman, Aberly-Wetstone) who hear cases.
4. CT Public Defender's Office Guardian Ad Litem Certification program, which is run by AFCC founders and uses an AFCC curriculum.
5. State contracts and vendors who are court appointed attorneys, GAL's, custody evaluators, therapists, doctors, etc.

(4) Who are the major competitors that sell the product or provide the service?

- The American Academy of Matrimonial Lawyers (cross affiliated with AFCC)
- The Inns of Court
- Barbari
- Independent Contractors

(5) Who is harmed by the alleged violations?

- Insurance companies who cover AFCC professionals and their patients
- Providers and consumers in the legal services marketplace
- The Courts and other government agencies who fund and host AFCC projects
- Families who are court ordered to obtain services from AFCC affiliates.
- Innocent third parties such as workers

(6) How are they harmed?

- Insurance companies and the State pay out billions on false claims submitted by AFCC professionals.
- Since there is no genuine competition for CT Judicial Branch contracts, smaller contractors are not able to compete. The quality and integrity of the Judicial Branch's services are very low.
- All professional boards related to the Judicial Branch are dominated by the AFCC. The professionals who do business with the AFCC and families who are ordered to obtain services from AFCC affiliates have no avenue for recourse when they are injured.
- Statistics from the US Department of Health and Human Services show that court orders for parents to utilize Access and Visitation supervised visitation went from 24 cases in 2003 to several hundred in 2008.
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/131028210/CT-Supervised-Visitation-Industry-Bubble-Funded-By-Taxpayers>
- The below-referenced chart lists over 75 family court cases in Connecticut where children's safety and well being has been jeopardized by unethical and even illegal activities of court professionals who routinely target, extort and exploit Connecticut mothers. In many of these cases, where mothers reported a father's violent crimes against her family, the mother eventually lost custody to the wealthier father when he -- the real perpetrator -- accused her of alienation. Violent fathers almost always won sole or joint custody of victims, and in some cases these fathers even went on to become mass murderers.
- Insurance companies and the State are being defrauded by medical and mental health professionals who are routinely rewarded handsomely for submitting false claims that misdiagnose fit and loving mothers and their children with mental disorders they do not have; they are also providing diagnosis and treatment plans that are considered illegitimate by the AMA and APA. Meanwhile, the same professionals justify their billing by deliberately recommending to judges the placement of children in the care of violent fathers, even rapists, and by shielding these offenders from criminal prosecution that might otherwise keep children safe. The effect is

that the whole family becomes damaged and in need of treatment, and are subsequently required to obtain ongoing court affiliated medical and legal professional services.

<http://www.consciousbeingalliance.com/2013/01/summary-of-connecticut-court-judicial-abuse-cases-january-2013/>

(7) What is your role in the situation in question?

I am an out of state journalist with no ties to Connecticut who cannot sleep at night after covering these stories. My professional background includes years working in the Courts and for the District Attorney's office, which is how I recognized that something was terribly off in the CT courts.

These children need to come home safely.

(8) Can you give examples of the conduct that you believe violates the antitrust laws?

I. OVERVIEW

1. The Association of Family and Conciliation Courts, Inc. is a trade organization whose directors and membership is made up of family court judges, court administrators, attorneys, GAL's, psychologists, custody evaluators, etc. Their activities include:
 - Operating federally funded (HHS, DOJ) demonstration projects that feed off the family courts (court mediation, domestic violence case screening, etc.)
 - Lobbying State and Federal legislative bodies for funding, law changes.
 - Crafting administrative policies and procedures for the Executive and Judicial Branch of State and Federal government.
 - Developing industry guidelines for family court professionals, such as attorneys, GAL's, supervised visitation, custody evaluations, etc.
 - Training programs for family court employees and industry professionals.
 - Membership networking events, conferences.
2. From 1979-Present, there is a long standing pattern of the Connecticut Judicial Branch awarding significant contracts to the AFCC and the AFCC's members. See 40+ examples here:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/134247461/AFCC-CT-Judicial-Branch-Taxpayer->

Funded-GAL-Training-Boon

3. Past presidents of the AFCC (national) corporation who are also Connecticut State employees who work for CT's Judicial Branch (Hereinafter referred to as "Judicial Branch) as Managing Administrators include:
 - Anthony "Tim" Salius
 - Robert Tompkins
 - Stephen Grant

4. Judicial Branch employees who were or are AFCC (national) corporation directors/task force members include:
 - Judge Anne C Drangenis
 - Debra Kulak
 - Kathryn Cerutti

5. Judicial Branch contractors who are or were president or on the board of directors of the AFCC (national) corporation include:
 - Jessica Pearson
 - Center for Policy Research
 - Timothy Bishop, Esq.
 - Marsha Klein Pruett
 - Philip Stahl, Ph.D.
 - Arnold Sheinvold

6. The CT Chapter of the AFCC (CT Business ID: 1097500) was also founded by Judicial Branch employees in March 2012, but did not incorporate until March 26, 2013. The "charity's" application did not list an FEIN #, is not listed as an approved charity on the IRS or CT Attorney General's website. See application filed with Secretary of State's office here:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/135448763/CT-Judges-Found-AFCC-Trade-Organization-For-Family-Court-Professionals>

Incorporating members of CT-AFCC include:

- Judge Gerard I Adelman
- Dr. Susan Cousineau
- Judicial Branch Manager Marylou Giovannucci
- Dr. Robert Horwitz
- Judicial Branch Manager Debra Kulak
- Judge Linda B. Munro
- Dr. Linda Santos Smith
- Judicial Branch Family Court Services Manager Phyllis Cummings-
Texeira

- Judge Holly Aberly Wetstone

II. AFCC CORPORATE HISTORY, LAW ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS

A. AFCC National Corporation Founded and Prosecuted By Authorities In CA, IL, FL

7. In 1962, Los Angeles judges and family court professionals founded the Conference of Conciliation Courts, which was registered using the LA Superior Court's address and an EIN# that belonged to LA County. The bank account was overseen by the LA Superior Court Family Court Administrator Gregory Pentoney. However, they did not register with the State or the IRS until 1969, and soon after authorities began shutting them down.
8. The existing Association of Family and Conciliation Courts (hereinafter referred to as "AFCC") is a nationwide, Illinois based corporation founded in 1975.
9. The CA Chapter of the AFCC was registered in 1981 by Jessica Pearson of the Center for Policy Research and court administrator Margaret Littles. The Sec of State's office records show CA AFCC registered itself in CA as operating from Courtroom 241 of the LA Superior Court. It also used the same bank account overseen by Pentoney that was set up using the County's EIN #. In the late 90's, authorities raided the LA Courthouse, and Pentoney and several County employees were sent to jail for their part in a "pay to play" kickback scam. The AFCC in CA was shut down again, but again cropped up and registered itself in 1987. See incorporation documents:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/125540250/Association-of-Family-and-Conciliation-Courts-AFCC-Articles-of-Incorporation>

B. AFCC's Cross Affiliated With Pedophiles, Fathers Rights Extremists Groups to Steer Federal Funding

10. In order to maximize their income and access to government funding, the AFCC has cross-affiliated itself with various militant Fathers Rights groups like the Children's Rights Council (CRC) whose members and directors were high ranking government officials in control of their Departments Grant funding.
 - (a) Examples of FR activists and government officials affiliated with AFCC include:
 - Susan Carbon, USDOJ, Office of Violence Against Women
 - Debbie Stebanow, Congresswoman from Michigan
 - David Levy, CRC Founder, Presidential Advisor
 - Jeffrey Leving, Presidential advisor, Founder of the Fathers and Families

Coalition

- Ron Haskins, Former Senate Ways and Means Staffer, now heads up Casey Family Services and the Brookings Institute.
- David Gray Ross, former HHS Secretary of the Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE)
- Sherry Z, former HHS Secretary of the Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE)
- Wade Horn, Assistant HHS Secretary of the Agency for Children and Families, founder/owner of the National Fatherhood Initiative

(b) The grant programs they oversee include child support enforcement, HHS Responsible Fatherhood Programs, HHS Access and Visitation, DOJ Safe Havens. These programs are geared towards assisting fathers in the courts, and require courts to arbitrarily reduce the mother's parenting time with the child. These programs provide offender fathers in trouble with the law (often recruited directly from federal prisons) with a varied of legal and social supports to sue the mother for custody, often with deadly consequences. The programs have no legitimate purpose because children do not benefit from spending time with violent criminals, and therefore exist solely to divert funding to crooked court professionals:

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/anne-stevenson/top-5-hhs-programs-endang_b_1511613.html

(c) CRC's board of directors, conference speakers co-hosted by AFCC have been comprised of numerous accused pedophiles and pedophile advocates. Here's a letter from CRC to the President in 1999. See names on letterhead, conference brochure:

<http://www.clintonlibrary.gov/assets/storage/Research%20-%20Digital%20Library/ricesub/Box%20011/647851-children's-rights-council.pdf>

(d) Pedophile advocates who founded or sat on the board of the children's rights council, many with ties to AFCC and speak at AFCC conferences:

- *Warren Farrell*-Published his "research" promoting incest and fathers raping their kids in Penthouse magazine. Farrell is also Fathers & Families main "go to" expert in custody cases on their website.

<http://www.thelizlibrary.org/site-index/site-index-frame.html#soulhttp://www.thelizlibrary.org/warren-farrell/warren-farrell2.htm>

- *Dr. Ralph Underwager and Holida Wakefield* promoted men raping little boys in Pedaika, the Dutch pedophile rag. They are also the founders of the False Memory Society, an organization dedicated to discrediting victims of violent crimes in court...

<http://www.nostatusquo.com/ACLU/NudistHallofShame/Underwager2.ht>

[ml](#)

- Dr. John Money, NAMBLAphile led experiments that involved giving elective sex change operations to baby boys to turn them into little girls. The subjects committed suicide.
nambla.org/money1.html
evil-unveiled.com/NAMBLA

"If I were to see the case of a boy aged ten or eleven who's intensely erotically attracted toward a man in his twenties or thirties, if the relationship is totally mutual, and the bonding is genuinely totally mutual, then I would not call it pathological in any way."

- John Money, Professor Emeritus of Medical Psychology, Johns Hopkins University, in an interview in Paidika: The Journal of Paedophilia, spring 1991

- Sonny Burmeister (GA CRC founder), an alleged child molester and wife beater.
- James "Sinkiss" Smith. I'm not sure what to say about these personal ads looking for a master/slave relationship:
<http://nafcj.blogspot.com/2008/02/freaky-fathers.html>
- Dean Tong, accused child molester, arrested multiple times for beating his wife(s)--also frequent speaker at AFCC conferences, board member of fathers and families, ACFC, etc.
<http://ncmbts.blogspot.com/2010/02/dean-tong-wife-beater-self-proclaimed.html>
- Richard Gardner, frequent speaker at AFCC/CRC conferences advocates for pedophiles and phony psychological syndromes that discredit victims of sexual assault:
<http://www.leadershipcouncil.org/1/pas/RAG.html>

*"Older children may be helped to appreciate that sexual encounters between an adult and a child are not universally considered to be reprehensible acts. **The child might be told about other societies in which such behavior was and is considered normal.** The child might be helped to appreciate the wisdom of Shakespeare's Hamlet, who said, "Nothing's either good or bad, but thinking makes it so."*

Gardner, R.A. (1992). *True and False Accusations of Child Sex Abuse*. Cresskill, NJ: Creative Therapeutics.(p. 549)

"In such discussions the child has to be helped to appreciate that we have in our society an exaggeratedly punitive and moralistic attitude about adult-child sexual encounters"

Gardner, R.A. (1992). *True and False Accusations of Child Sex Abuse*. Cresskill, NJ: Creative Therapeutics.(p. 572).

- (e) The purpose of recruiting so many pedophile sympathizers into the AFCC may have been to find professionals who were willing to deliberately manufacture litigation and inflate billing hours through AFCC court programs by placing children with dangerous criminals. Children in safe homes do not need to be assessed or treated for mental illness.

AFCC cases often judges who order children into the care of violent offender fathers, then refuse to make decisions, drag cases out for years; involve multiple court appointed experts who cannot object to their appointment or opinions, leaving them vulnerable to extortion, bankrupt and with only supervised visitation time with their children.

- (f) AFCC experts bill private parties and/or the State for their services. Examples of AFCC billing fraud include:
- Use of junk science to justify custody switches, failure to prosecute violent fathers. Examples include use of **Parental Alienation Syndrome**, which is the child molester's legal defense of choice. The American Psychological Association has repeatedly rejected PAS for inclusion in the DSMV manual because it is not a psychological condition. Therefore, mental healthcare providers who invoke it or submit billing invoices to parents or insurance companies to "treat" or "diagnose" PAS are engaged in fraud.
 - Refusal to prosecute sex offenders for raping children, then deliberately awarding rapists and violent offenders sole custody child victims of sexual assault so that the mothers will fight to get the children back. (see *Liberti v. Liberti*)
 - Appointing AFCC experts onto cases to assess families for unspecified objectives, or diagnosis which have already been ruled out. (see *Liberti v. Liberti*)
 - AFCC experts falsely diagnose children with illnesses they do not have for insurance purposes (see *Skipp v. Tittle*)
 - Billing the State and/or the parents for services that may or may not have been provided. (See *Boyne v. Boyne*)

- Ordering the non-offending mother to purchase her parenting time through crooked supervised visitation centers for the stated purpose of stopping the mother from reporting or seeking medical treatment for the child's or her own injuries which are the result of the father's violent attacks.

C AFCC Offices And Members Prosecuted By Authorities

11. Beginning in the late 1990's, InSight Magazine reported that authorities raided the Los Angeles Superior Court, which also served at the headquarters for the CT Chapter of the AFCC, after Marvin Breyer sued the Court Administrator Gregory Pentoney.¹ Pentoney operated a judicial slush fund on the AFCC's behalf from Courtroom 210.² By 2001, Pentoney and several other LA county employees were convicted of fraud and incarcerated³ for their participation in a "pay to play" kickback scheme run from their public offices.
12. In 2011, Judge Patrick J. Mahoney, Presiding Judge of the San Francisco Unified Family Court came under criticism after the San Francisco Weekly⁴ reported on a string of cases⁵ where pedophile-friendly junk science promoted by AFCC⁶ members was used by pedophiles and violent criminals were awarded sole custody of victims. In one case, Mahoney awarded sole custody to a violent father at the recommendation of a custody evaluator who blogged about his fantasies drugging and raping animals.⁷ Mahoney is on the board of directors of Kids' Turn, an AFCC founded nonprofit which receives grants from Superior Court to run parent education programs. Kids' Turn⁸ will be honoring Mahoney's retirement from the bench on May 2nd.⁹
13. In October 2012, the FBI raided the Lackawanna Family Court¹⁰ seeking records pertaining to the Court's Guardian Ad Litem (GAL) program,¹¹ overseen by

¹ <http://www.johnnypumphandle.com/cc/jail.htm>

² InSight Magazine, "Is Justice For Sale In LA?"

<http://www.johnnypumphandle.com/cc/bryr0910.htm>

³ "Auditor, Attorney Accused of Using Court Data to Cheat County" LA Times

<http://www.johnnypumphandle.com/cc/jail.htm>

⁴ "CA Courts Helping Pedophiles, Batterers Get Custody" Peter Jamison, SF Weekly

<http://www.sfweekly.com/2011-03-02/news/family-court-parental-alienation-syndrome-richard-gardner-pedophilia-domestic-violence-child-abuse-judges-divorce/>

⁵ "Documents Shed Light on Family Courts' Soft Stance Toward Abuse" Peter Jamison, SF Weekly

http://blogs.sfweekly.com/thesnitch/2011/03/family_court_abuse.php

⁶ "Parental Alienation Syndrome' -- Judge Isn't Buying it" Peter Jamison, SF Weekly

http://blogs.sfweekly.com/thesnitch/2011/03/parental_alienation_syndrome_a.php

⁷ "Bill Perry Removed from Family Court for Disturbing Blog Posts" Peter Jamison, SF Weekly

<http://www.sfweekly.com/2012-03-28/news/san-francisco-family-court-robin-wilson-chloral-hydrate-kathleen-russell-center-for-judicial-excellence/full/>

⁸ <http://familycourtmatters.wordpress.com/2011/02/27/lets-get-honest-about-kids-turn-and-judges-profit/>

⁹ <http://kidsturn.org/kt/2013-01-31-kids-turn-honors-judge-patrick-j-mahoney/>

¹⁰ "FBI searches court administrator's office" Times Tribune 11/15/2011 <http://thetimes-tribune.com/news/fbi-searches-court-administrator-s-office-1.1232356>

¹¹ <http://www.lackawannabar.org/index.php?id=30>

AFCC task force Member Anne Marie Termini.¹² In March 2013, a federal grand jury indicted Danielle Ross, the Court's sole court appointed GAL on charges of tax fraud for failing to report income earned while working for Termini's office.¹³ Termini is no longer employed by the Lackawanna Family Courts.¹⁴

D 1979: Judicial Branch Employees Establish AFCC Itself Within State Offices, Lobbies, Excludes Competitors

14. The AFCC was first registered to do business in CT in 1982 by Jessica Pearson (Center for Policy Research), Frank Orlando (Florida Judge) Anne Milne (AFCC Director) and Salius (CT Judicial Branch). The AFCC was registered as an IL based corporation with the CT Sec. of State, with the CT office listed on the application located inside the Hartford, CT courthouse. In 1984, Salius withdrew the application. See incorporation documents here:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/134086086/CT-AFCC-Center-for-Policy-Research-Articles-of-Incorporation>
15. In 1982, the AFCC's application to incorporate was filed by Pearson with the CT Secretary of State. At that time, the Judicial Branch had contracted the AFCC to run an HHS demonstration project in the family courts. AFCC incorporator Jessica Pearson oversaw the day to day operations of the Judicial Branch pilot program, and several other corresponding demonstration projects in NY, CA, MN, IL, and MI. Pearson is a trusted advisor of the US Department of Health and Human Services, who often contracted Pearson via the Center for Policy Research to write papers and reports about the AFCC's federally funded programs in the CT Courts. Obviously, that is a conflict of interest which has greatly contributed to the proliferation of the organization's tax payer funded success in CT, which placed competing organizations who played by the rules at a severe disadvantage.
16. Examples of Judicial Branch officials lobbying Congress with Fathers Rights activists for Fatherhood programs include:
 - (a) **September 1999 Testimony of Court Admins before the US Senate Committee on Ways and Means seeking funding for Fatherhood and CSSD family court projects.**
<https://bulk.resource.org/gpo.gov/hearings/106h/64324.txt>
 - P.52: Ref. where Chairman Johnson (CT) and Ms. Kadwell talk about CSSD's contract with Policy Studies, Inc. to aggregate client data.

¹²AFCC Five-Year Report (2002-2007)

<https://www2583.sslidomain.com/afccnet/pdfs/AFCC%20Five-Year%20Report%20Web.pdf>

¹³“Lackawanna County Attorney Charged with Federal Income Tax Fraud” FBI press release.
<http://www.fbi.gov/philadelphia/press-releases/2013/lackawanna-county-attorney-charged-with-federal-income-tax-fraud>

¹⁴<http://www.linkedin.com/pub/ann-marie-termini-ed-s-m-s-lpc/1b/a7a/631>

- P.70-78: Testimony of **Judge Robert C. Leuba, Chief Court Administrator, Supreme Court of CT** o/b/o Conference of State Court Administrators (nonprofit). Sought to lobby for Title IV-D welfare funding for CSSD, namely HHS Access and Visitation, Fatherhood, OCSE funds. Also testifying in favor of increasing the amount of funding to Title IV-D funding for these child support enforcement programs were many leaders of the Fathers Rights movement:

- David Roberts (ACFC)
- John Smith (Alliance for Non-Custodial Parents Rights)
- Stephen Baskerville
- David Allen Shelton (Fathers for Equal Rights)
- Tracie Snitker (Men's Health Network)

- P.75: Leuba testifies about CT Judicial Branch's Access and Visitation centers, misuse of AV funds for *clinical intervention services, forced mediation and therapy in "chronically conflicted" (e.g. domestic violence)visitation cases, "reunification" services including counseling.*
- P.76: Ref. "Transitions in Parenting" program (TIP). "Transitions in Parenting: This group consists of high conflict couples, and has experienced **mixed success due to the level of hostility and combative behavior of the participants**. Fifteen families have accessed these services."
- *"...To summarize, early results (18 months) point to a positive impact of the mediation and casework process applied by court personnel, and to the supervised visitation services. On the other hand, the contracted clinical services are showing less positive results. This may in part be attributed to the extremely high conflict and complex set of circumstances surrounding these referrals. These programs are being reviewed to tailor and augment these clinical interventions to better provide the client with an opportunity to realize a favorable response....Currently, the Judicial Branch is restructuring the clinical approach to merge the Building Cooperative Relationships and Transitions in Parenting programs into one intensive clinical intervention..."*

17. In 2001, the Governor formed the Governor's Commission on Custody, Divorce and Children to overhaul divorce laws and practices in CT.

- (a) P.41 of the Commission's report specifically references AFCC's involvement in Commission. The Commission's report was extremely biased towards fathers and can be found here:

http://www.sharedparentinginc.org/CDCC_FinalReport.htm

(b) The Commission was comprised of nearly all AFCC/CRC affiliates:

- Judge Anne C. Dranginis (Co-Chair-AFCC past Dir. in 1997),
- Mr. Thomas C. Foley (Co-Chair),
- Dr. Jerry Brodlie,
- Ms. Rebecca Calabrese,
- Ms. Phyllis Cummings-Texeria (AFCC coordinator),
- Mr. Pat D'Angelo (CRC),
- Ms. Jill Davies (AFCC),
- Mr. Eugene Falco,
- Mr. Stephen Grant (AFCC past President),
- Judge Herbert Gruendel (AFCC presenter),
- Dr. Sidney Horowitz (AFCC),
- Dr. Nancy Humphreys,
- Dr. Marsha Kline-Pruett (AFCC past president),
- Judge C. Ian McLachlan,
- Judge Lynda B. Munro (AFCC presenter),
- Dr. Kenneth S. Robson, (GAL trainer)
- Mr. Robert Tompkins (AFCC past president), and
- Ms. Christine M. Whitehead.

18. Copies of the CT Judicial Branch's Fatherhood/AV grant applications, reports on court programs, and the multi-agency agreement between various State agencies to work towards arbitrarily reducing children's time with their mothers and placing children with violent fathers more often can be found here:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126298352/CT-Family-Court-Grants-Fatherhood-Access-and-Visitation-DOJ>

III. INDICATIONS OF CURRENT ANTI-TRUST ACTIVITIES IN CONNECTICUT

A. The AFCC Has Been Doing Business With The Judicial Branch Without Registering or Disclosing Conflicts of Interest

19. On March 26, 2013, the AFCC again filed an application to incorporate itself with the CT Secretary of State's office under the name "The CT Chapter of the AFCC," (CT Business ID: 1097500). The "charity's" application did not list an FEIN #, is not listed as an approved charity on the IRS or CT Attorney General's website.

20. From 1979 up until the present, Judicial Branch managers (Salius, Tompkins, Grant, Giovannucci, Kulak, Cerutti) and judges (Munro, Adelman, Aberly Wetstone) who are also board members of the AFCC have overseen the Judicial Branch's contracts. There is a long standing pattern of the Judicial Branch awarding significant contracts to the AFCC and the AFCC's members. See 40+ examples here:

21. From 1979-present, the AFCC has continued to do business in CT without properly registering with the authorities. Business activities include soliciting fees, donations, members; contracting with the State to operate various programs; advertising and promoting the group's activities; professional events, etc. This is a violation of anti-trust laws because unlike other legitimate CT businesses, the AFCC's operations have not been subject to restrictions and regulations from federal and State oversight authorities. Since they are not on the authorities radar, they do not bear the same burden of subjecting themselves to audits or other quality assurance measures meant to protect consumers.
22. By operating from the Judicial Branch, the AFCC is able to benefit from the increased profits and revenue which have resulted from the misuse of State resources to reduce their overhead and operational costs. The AFCC does **not**:
 - get audited
 - pay taxes,
 - hire and pay labor,
 - pay for office space and supplies,
 - develop an independent client base and recruit clientele
 - compete for contracts and funding for projects inside and outside the court, etc.
23. Since the AFCC and CT AFCC are run by Judicial Branch employees who are Judicial Branch managers and judges, they are in a position to control the delegation of State and federal funding to ensure that AFCC members are the beneficiaries. This means the AFCC is also able to eliminate marketplace competition by requiring all court industry professionals to remain compliant with AFCC business interests, or alternatively, be unfairly excluded from accessing the Court's programs and services.

B. AFCC Dominates Oversight Positions To Control Outcome Of Complaints

24. The Judicial Branch often holds events at Quinnipiac University, a private law school located in Hamden, CT. There are many State owned buildings which the Judicial Branch could use to hold its meetings free of charge. The purpose of expending tax dollars for Judicial Branch employees to hold meetings off State property is that it skirts the State's open meetings laws.
 - (a) Quinnipiac is a vendor of the Judicial Branch.
 - (b) AFCC Member Carolyn Kaas oversees Quinnipiac's clinical programs.
 - (c) Judge Linda B. Munro is a salaries, part time faculty member at Quinnipiac Law School. See her Statement of Financial Interest:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/130954113/Judge-Lynda-Munro-s-Financial-Disclosure-Statement>

25. Since the AFCC is run by Judicial Branch employees who are Judicial Branch managers and judges, they are in a position to control the outcome of all consumer complaints and lawsuits filed with the courts regarding AFCC programs and members. Examples include:

- (a) Judge Lynda Munro is Chief Administrative Judge of the Family Court, meaning all complaints about Judges, attorneys, and the courts administrative programs must be filtered through her.
- (b) Judge Munro oversees the GAL training and certification program. Currently, GAL's receive qualified immunity, but there is no oversight authority and no decertification process. The protocol for filing a complaint about a GAL is that you are supposed to take it up with the presiding family court judge assigned to your case, who is ultimately beholden to Judge Munro.
- (c) Complaints about attorneys are directed to the Statewide Greivance Committee, which includes AFCC member Susan Cousineau.
- (d) Complaints about violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act are directed to AFCC member Phyllis Cummings Texiera.
- (e) Complaints about the Court's administrative services, federally funded grant projects are directed to AFCC members Debra Kulak and Stephen Grant.
- (f) Complaints about Family Support Magistrates are directed to AFCC supporter Judge Barbara Quinn.
- (g) Complaints about judges are directed to the Judicial Review Council, whose members include AFCC member Barbara Aaron.

26. Even when State Auditors have caught fraud in the Judicial Branch, they have adopted a "no prosecution" policy. See 2010 Audit of Judicial Branch: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/127479930/CT-State-Auditor-s-Report-On-Judicial-Branch>

C. CT AFCC Conference-State Pays Judicial Officers Multiple Times To Train Themselves Through A Corporation Founded By Judicial Branch Employees

27. On March 14, 2013, CT-AFCC President elect Judicial Branch manager Marylou Giovanucci sent an email to over 800 family court professionals soliciting business for the AFCC's First Annual Conference at Quinnipiac on 4/14/2013. At the time, the AFCC was not registered to do business in the State of CT. See email here: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/135006383/Judicial-Branch-Email-to-800-Court-Professionals-Soliciting-AFCC-Business>

28. Members of the CT-AFCC Conference Committee included the following:

Judicial Branch Managers

- John Hall
- Kathryn Cerutti
- Phyllis Cummings Texeira

Judicial Branch Contractors

- Sidney Horowitz
- Robert Horwitz
- Carolyn Kaas
- Louis Keifer
- Stephanie Leite
- Linda Smith

29. Speakers at the CT AFCC Conference included:

- Judge Lynda B. Munro
- Phyllis Cummings Texiera (Judicial Branch employee)
- Stephen Dembo (Judicial Branch Contractor)
- Louise Traux (Judicial Branch Contractor)

30. On March 18, 2013, I filed a written request for public information with the Judicial Branch regarding the AFCC's operations. This request was ignored.

31. On March 21st I called the Secretary of State's office and spoke to Attorney William Silk. He informed me that the AFCC was not registered to do business in the State. I then forwarded him copies of 40+ examples of the AFCC doing business in CT without a license.

32. On March 26, 2013, the AFCC filed their application to do business in CT with the Secretary of State's office. Notes on the file indicate that the CT AFCC incorporated in response to the public information requests I filed.

33. On April 10, 2013, I sent someone to the CT Secretary of State's office to retrieve a copy of the CT-AFCC's application filed 3/26/2013. The Secretary of State's office refused to release a copy, and told the messenger that the application would not be available for several weeks.

34. On April 11, 2013, the CT Secretary of State's office again denied my request for a copy of the CT-AFCC's documentation. This time I called a supervisor, who released the documentation to the messenger. This allowed me to see the full list of CT-AFCC directors and board members, which included 3 judges, court admins, and judicial branch contractors.

35. On April 12, 2013, the CT AFCC held its' First Annual Conference at Quinnipiac Law school.

36. On April 12, 2012, Judicial Branch Attorney Martin Libbin confirmed to me (via email) the following facts:

- Through the CT AFCC, the Judicial Branch paid its employee owned corporation to train Judicial Branch employees.
- Approximately a year ago, Chief Administrative Judge Barbara Quinn approved the involvement of various Judicial Branch employees in starting a private corporation, a trade organization of CT family court professionals (CT AFCC).
- Quinn approved paid "education days" for Judicial Branch several employees who attended the conference.
- The Judicial Branch paid the conference admission fees for employees who attended, which ranged from \$55-\$170 per person.
- The source of the funding used to pay for these costs was a Federal Court Improvement Grant.

D. Judicial Branch Contracts, Business Are Steered To Questionable AFCC Vendors

37. There is a long standing pattern of the Judicial Branch awarding significant contracts to the AFCC and the AFCC's members. 40+ examples of AFCC members overseeing the court's administrative operations, federally funded demonstration projects:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/134247461/AFCC-CT-Judicial-Branch-Taxpayer-Funded-GAL-Training-Boon>

38. The Court's Support Services Division has delegated the Judicial Branch's employee training program contracts nearly exclusively to AFCC members. For instance, Contract RFQ# 04-6024 was awarded to 15 vendors, however, the only vendors who were utilized were almost exclusively AFCC members. A chart listing these vendors is attached.

- (a) Some unused vendors were untraceable business entities with no visible internet footprint or records in filed with the Secretary of State's office.
- (b) Some of the vendors awarded the contract were owned by salaried State employees who work for or with the Judicial Branch. This includes:

- Francis J. Carino, Juvenile Prosecutor
- Richard Pavasaris, Probation Officer
- Mary K O’Sullivan, Social Worker, Dept. of Mental Health Addiction Services
- UCONN Health Svcs- Judge Munro is a UConn Trustee

(c) The Judicial Branch awarded and paid the following AFCC vendors:

- CT Resources Group (Kreiger, Horowitz)
- Reigler Sheinvold
- Yardley Associates
- Phillip Stahl
- Armata & Davis

39. In 2007, the Judicial Branch awarded RFQ 04-6024 to AFCC affiliated CT Resources Group, which did not enter a bid or respond to the Request for Proposals.

- AFCC member Dr. Howard Kreiger bid on Judicial Branch RFQ #04-6024 under the corporation name Howard Kreiger, Ph.D.
- Kreiger checked off “N/A” where the application required him to disclose all parties to the contract, as well as any subcontractors Kreiger planned to use. No reference to CT Resources Group was made in the application.
- Many sections of Kreiger’s application were missing, such as the assurances and the State’s equal access and protection agreements.
- In March 2007, Judicial Branch contract manager Pamela Sarno sent a letter awarding the contract not to Kreiger, but to the CT Resources Group.
- A copy of Kreiger’s application and the award documents from the Judicial Branch can be found here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/125730813/Dr-Howard-M-Krieger-s-Contract-With-Connecticut-Judicial-Branch-re-Professional-Trainings>

40. Contract 04-6024 was also awarded to salaried State employees who work for the Judicial Branch

41. In 2010, the Contract 04-6024 expired. Judicial Branch renewed CT Resources Group’s no bid contract without re-opening the bidding process.

42. From 2007-2012, AFCC member Dr. Sidney Horowitz billed tens of thousands of

dollars in fees to Howard Kreiger's contract (04-6024) that Horowitz was not a party to. Those invoices can be found here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/125725460/Connecticut-Court-Billing-Invoices-Part-1-Dr-Howard-M-Krieger-and-Dr-Sidney-S-Horowitz>

43. The following are examples of inconsistencies I have found in the billing referenced in paragraph 29:
- (a) Dr. Sidney Horowitz has billed over \$100,000 in goods and services to the State, yet Dr. Horowitz does not have a contract with the CT Judicial Branch according to Debra Kulak, Regional Manager for CSSD's Family Services. Does Dr. Horowitz's arrangement with CSSD to provide training to CSSD employees and at the same time receive appointments as an evaluator/expert/therapeutic provider in family court cases violate State laws and guidelines outlined by the CT State Dept. of Administrative Services? <http://www.das.state.ct.us/fp1.aspx?page=280>
 - (b) Page 25-26 show that Dr. Horowitz has billed CSSD \$3,750 for 2 full days of training services, in addition to 3 hours of prep time conducted on 11/20/2008-11/21/2008. Yet on page 41, Dr. Horowitz has also billed CSSD for a "parent child visit" allegedly conducted on 11/20/2008.
 - (c) On page 45, Judge Linda Pearce Prestley submitted a bill on Horowitz's behalf on 9/30/2010. Page 46 contains an email from Judge Prestley dated 11/4/2010 which states "*Nancy just called, they found the check from 2008 and were really sorry for billing twice*" Which bills do these communications refer to? Should judges be in charge of the ex parte billing approval process with regards to the fees awarded to experts that testify in cases that they preside over?
 - (d) Payment Ledger on Page 1 indicates that many payments were made to CT Resources Group, despite the fact that they are not signed or date stamped.
 - (e) Page 36- CT Resources Group billed for 8 hours of prep time (spanning 6 months) to conduct a 7 hour training with Barry Armata. Contract allows 4 hours prep time per 1 1/2 days work, overage approved by Pam Sarno who works as a buyer for the judicial branch, but not in CSSD.
 - (f) P. 32-CT Resources charged \$3,000 to reschedule a class, then charged an additional \$1,500 to conduct the same class on 4/8/2010.
44. Family Court Judges, including Munro, Adelman, and Wetstone often appoint Horowitz and Krieger onto family court cases to act as custody evaluators, as mediators, or to provide therapeutic services and evaluations.

- (a) Horowitz and Kreiger do not have a contract to provide therapeutic services to the State.
 - (b) The invoices for these State funded therapeutic services are maintained by the Judicial Branch's administrative offices in Wethersfield.
 - (c) The presiding judge on each case approves the billing invoices submitted by Horowitz and Kreiger.
 - (d) Litigants are not provided with copies of the invoices Kreiger and Horowitz submit on their cases. The invoices are kept separate from the litigant's court file, and the litigants themselves may not even be aware that the State has paid or whether the invoices themselves contain valid claims.
45. Between 2008-2013, Horowitz submitted the following inconsistent invoices for his work on CT Family Court cases:
- (a) Copies of the invoices can be located here:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/125730381/CT-Court-Billing-Invoices-Part-2-Dr-Howard-M-Krieger-and-Dr-Sidney-S-Horowitz>
 - (b) P. 1, cross ref. Part 1, p.1: Why is Dr. Horowitz (who is not a State contracted mediator according to CSSD) being paid from Federal funds meant for Court Appointed Attorneys in New Haven Juvenile Court to conduct mediations in Bridgeport?
 - (c) P. 4, Dr. Horowitz Office Manager: "Dr. Horowitz normal fee per hour is \$300. He understands he may be reimbursed at state rates."; Which state rate? Is there a contract with a rate agreement?
 - (d) P.1-47: Horowitz bills at rates ranging \$125-325. Many invoices lack descriptions of what Horowitz did during hours billed.
 - (e) P. 38-47, Heather Boyne v. Paul Boyne. Did parents have a copy? Call to DCF on 5/23/2012?
 - (f) P.44, Boyne case: "I understand that THIS bill will be paid at State rates, per Judge Abery Wetstone."; Where is this order specifying a rate?
 - (g) P. 9-10: OSC contempt hearing on 11/21/2007 issued by Buffy, clerk to Judge Holly Abery Wetstone. Marshall Joseph Klett's affidavit of service is date stamped 11/28/2007.
 - (h) P. 7, 12, 19, 22, 23, 25, 33, 35, Parties ordered not to discuss orders,

Horowitz, litigation, therapy progress, safety, billing concerns with their [abused?] children spending time with [dangerous?] offenders in a supervised setting? All communications go through GAL, Horowitz?

E. Judge Munro, AFCC Control [no bid] GAL Training, Oversight, Contracts

46. Each year, the State of CT's Public Defender offices subsidizes over 530 GAL's who are appointed onto family court cases. Another 460+ are in the appeals court dockets. Those reports and my communications with the Public Defender's office can be found here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/134291972/575-Publicly-Funded-GAL-s-But-Parents-Can-t-See-Their-Invoices-Is-This-Safe>

47. The State's mandatory Guardian Ad Litem Training and Certification program was founded by Judge Munro, who is the sole arbiter of who is selected to teach and practice as a GAL. In 2012, Munro and other AFCC court officials passed an administrative law that all CT GAL's must complete the Court's certification program prior to acting as a GAL on cases. The program was established by the Judicial Branch, then moved over to the Public Defender's office in 2012. Currently, the program is overseen by Public Defender's Office Legal Counsel Deborah Del Pret Sullivan.

(a) The agendas and course materials for the GAL Certification Program can be found here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/134137761/CT-s-Required-Guardian-Ad-Litem-Training-Materials-Qualified-Immunity-No-Oversight-No-Decertification-Process>

(b) Judge Munro has chosen an AFCC based curriculum for the GAL trainings.

(c) GAL trainings are held at Quinnipiac Law School.

(d) Judge Munro selected almost exclusively judges and family court contractors affiliated with the AFCC to run the training program:

- Judge Munro
- Barry Armata
- Gerard Adelman
- Jennifer Davies
- Steven Dembo
- Howard Kreiger
- Sandra Lax
- Stephanie Leite
- Sidney Horowitz

- Robert Horwitz
- Mary K O’Sullivan
- Linda Smith
- Phillip Stahl
- Phylis Cummings-Textiera
- Louise Traux
- Judge Holly Abery-Wetstone
- Robert Zaslow

(e) Other GAL trainers with significant ties to the Judicial Branch include:

- David Griffin (chair of several healthcare corps with Judicial Branch contracts)
- Judge Maureen McCabe Murphy
- Dr. Kenneth Robson (judicial Branch contractor)

48. In October of 2012 and January of 2013, I submitted a public records request to the Public Defender’s office seeking information regarding the GAL program, various Public Defender’s office contractors, and seeking copies of invoices. The Public Defender’s office refused to respond or turn over documents.

49. In February 2013, I contacted the FOI commission and requested a hearing to compel the Public Defender’s office to respond, and cc’ed the Public Defender’s office on these emails. The Public Defender’s Office responded that it has delegated Attorney Deborah Sullivan to oversee the GAL training program. Sullivan is also in charge of overseeing all public information requests submitted to the office under the State’s open records laws. Sullivan responded by informing me that:

- (a) The Public Defender’s office administrative invoices are not subject to public inspection, and thus she would not be disclosing them.
- (b) The public defender’s office contractors I inquired about (Horowitz, Kreiger, Maureen McCabe Murphy, Kenneth Robson) do not have written contracts to provide services.
- (c) Therefore, it is my suspicion that Contract 04-6024 has not been used to train Judicial Branch staff as it states, but it has instead been used to pay for AFCC GAL trainings at Quinnipiac.
- (d) The FOI Commission has agreed to grant me a hearing to compel the Public Defender to disclose the invoices, to be scheduled at a time in the near future.

F. CT AFCC’s Questionable Ties To Judicial Branch Contractors

50. CT Bar Association memo discussing joint efforts of Zaslow, Munro,

Horowitz to craft/lobby legislation re: mediation

<http://www1.ctbar.org/SectionsAndCommittees/AnnualReports0809/FamilyLawAR0809.pdf>

51. Examples of CT's Judicial Branch sponsored Fatherhood, AV, programs with questionable AFCC/CRC ties include:

(a) AMPS, Inc. Founded by DCF social worker who was cited by the Ethics Commission for conflicts of interest. AMPS, Inc. was the sole provider of the Judicial Branch's supervised visitation services from 2001-2012. See report here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/131028210/CT-Supervised-Visitation-Industry-Bubble-Funded-By-Taxpayers>

(b) Children's Rights Council (DBA "The Toby Center for Transitions" as of 2011, a Florida corporation). The CT CRC was founded by the Yale Law Center:

<http://www.thetobycenter.org/#!/vstc1=about-us>

CT Website:

<http://www.ctcrc.org/board.asp>

CT State Reentry Round Table Collaborative with CRC:

<http://www.ctreentry.info/place/childrens-rights-council-connecticut-inc>

Supporters include Rep. Chris Murphy:

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/connecticutcivilrightscouncil/message/2505>

(c) Klingberg Family Services, current the Judicial Branch's current Access and Visitation provider, oversees Transitions in Parenting program referenced as "counterproductive" to Congress. Klingberg's bid and contract can be found here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/131040512/CT-Court-s-Contract-With-Klingberg-Family-Services-TIP-Program-Supervised-Visitation>

- Klingberg's bid for the Judicial Branch's supervised visitation contract includes an admission that they acted as a subcontractor for AMPS for the last several years.
- Klingberg's application states that their services are mainly for fathers only.

(d) COORDINATING CNCL FOR CHILDREN IN CRISIS INC/DBA: FAMILY CENTERED SERVICES OF CT, INC. AFCC members Judicial Branch employee Alison Kaas and DCF employee Marlene Graham are on their

Board of Directors. From 2010-2012, the business grossed over \$6.2 million in funding as a State vendor.

- (e) Families In Crisis (Fatherhood legal assistance-prisons) includes the following State employees has or historically had on the board of directors:

Mary Johnson	Dept. of Corrections
Catherine Fisk Natale	Dept. of Education
Major Lora Castronova	Dept. of Corrections
Rudolph Brooks	DCF
Charlene-Russell Tucker	Department of Education
Susan Storey	Public Defender
	Dept. of Consumer
James T Flemming	Protection
Tonya C. Johnson	CCADV
Albert Alissi	Uconn Sch. Social Work
Marilyn Diaz	US HUD

- (f) Family Life Education Inc, EIN# 06-1262848, includes the following State employees has or historically had on the board of directors:

James Brennan	Dept of Labor
Social Worker Wanda Huertas	JUD
Jose Centino	JUD

- (g) FAMILY RE-ENTRY, INC., Grossing over \$5.5 million from the state from 2010-2012, this business is owned by probation officer Jeffery Grant and State employee Andrew Lanza.**

- (h) McCall Foundation is a Judicial Branch contractor whose Board of Directors includes Judicial Branch Manager Laura DiFelice, who signs off on the payments for training programs.

IV. FRAUD BY AFCC MEMBERS ON CT COURT CASES

A. HEATHER BOYNE V. PAUL BOYNE (Docket No: FA05-4018463)

52. Case Documents in order can be found here:
http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Boyne_v._Boyne_Case_Docs_Pkg.pdf

53. AFCC Members involved in Boyne case include:

- Judge Holly Aberly Wetstone
- Judge Gerard Adelman
- Judge Lynda B. Munro
- Attorney Steven Dembo
- Attorney Louis Keifer
- Psychologist Sidney Horowitz
- Klingberg Family Center
- Court Administrator Debra Kulak

54. **2007:** Paul and Heather Boyne’s divorce finalized, Heather retains sole custody of the parties’ four children. Journalist is unaware of any record that either party has been found “unfit” or to have abused or neglected the children (now ages 10, 14, 17, and 20).

55. P. 6-26: *There are currently almost 400 actions registered on the Boyne’s case detail.*

56. Spreadsheet of Dr. Horowitz invoices provided to journalist not provided to Paul Boyne (Paul).

57. **5/03/2012 (p.27-32):** Holly Aberly-Wetstone (HARTFORD) appointed Dr. Sidney Horowitz onto the Boyne case to perform psych evals on the family. Boyne signs Horowitz’s retainer on 5/22/2012 which states “*Principles of confidentiality and privilege do not apply within the context of an assessment such as the one being conducted... Information concerning your payments (amounts, source of payment, form of payments) is also not confidential.*” (p.30)

58. **P. 51-60:** CT Judicial Branch’s Court Support Services Division (CSSD) provides Journalist with order different to what was provided to Paul (P. 43-51. See endorsements, redactions, markings.)

59. **P. 55:** 5/3/2012 appointment order, clause 9 is redacted:

Key Issues

Do these factors apply to the Boyne case?

*Deprivation of parental rights without due process

*Denial of equal access to courts

*Court orders parents to use experts who list credentials from diploma mills

*Improper/false billing to State programs by private 3rd party contractors (who do not have a written service contract with State)

*Misuse of public funds on protracted private litigation

* “Free” State sponsored GAL training for private attorneys, mental health workers.

*Public officials withholding, altering documents subject to FOIA

*Failure of public officials to account for public funding

*Lack of oversight, regulation, transparency in federally funded programs

“9. Has either parent attempted to **alienate** any of the children from the other party and, if so, to what extent?”

“Alienation” is a legal process not covered by health insurance. The APA states that “parental alienation syndrome” is not a valid mental illness or disorder subject to mental healthcare assessment or treatment.

http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/09/21/parental-alienation-is-no_n_1904310.html

Diploma Mills

Dr. Horowitz’s signature states he is Fellow in the American College of Forensic Examiners (ACFE). ACFE was exposed by Frontline, the Wall Street Journal, and other news sources as a diploma mill.

PBS Frontline: “No forensic Background? No Problem”

<http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/criminal-justice/real-csi/no-forensic-background-no-problem/>

The Public Court:

<http://www.thepubliccourt.com>

60. **5/23/2012 (p. 47):** CSSD computer screen printout and invoices showing Horowitz submitted invoice for \$3,575 on Boyne case, billed at \$325 per hour (State rate is \$128.75). Screen printout document was given to Paul, but not provided to journalist who made request as well.
61. **5/23/2012 (p. 54, 61-65):** Horowitz makes abuse/neglect report to DCF *against* Heather Boyne (“alienation,” withholding children from father), prior to completing evaluations on Paul and children. DCF neglects to take report, notes, on basis that Horowitz has never met the children.
62. **5/29/2012 (p.35):** Horowitz recuses himself off Boyne case without drafting written report. Judge Abery Wetstone grants Paul’s motion to vacate order appointing Horowitz to conduct assessment (p.36-37).
63. **June and July 2012 (p.42-46):** CSSD Regional Manager Debra Kulak repeatedly tells Paul that Horowitz does not have contract to provide services to CSSD, has not submitted invoices to CSSD this year.
- See Dr. Howard Kreiger’s bid for RFP 04-6024 with CSSD (subsequently awarded instead to CT Resources Group, who did not explicitly bid or disclose that Horowitz worked for them):
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/125730813/Dr-Howard-M-Krieger-s-Contract-With-Connecticut-Judicial-Branch-re-Professional-Trainings>
 - See invoices submitted by Horowitz, CT Resource Group for 2008-2012:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/125725460/Connecticut-Court-Billing-Invoices-Part-1-Dr-Howard-M-Krieger-and-Dr-Sidney-S-Horowitz>
 - Part 2 of CSSD invoices submitted by Horowitz:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/125730381/CT-Court-Billing-Invoices-Part-2-Dr-Howard-M-Krieger-and-Dr-Sidney-S-Horowitz>
64. **6/21/2012 9 (p. 21, 38-39):** Judge Olear denies Heather’s motion to subpoena Horowitz to testify at a hearing on 7/11/2012 at State’s expense.

65. **7/11/2012 (p.40-41)**: Judge Abery Wetstone recuses herself from hearing the case, transfers Boyne case to Judge Lynda B. Munro (MIDDLETOWN). Horowitz appears at hearing pursuant to a subpoena issued by Heather's attorney, however, no witnesses are called, no testimony or arguments are heard (see 1 page transcript.)

After Judge Abery Wetstone recuses herself from hearing the Boyne case, she states:

“And I apologize to the witness that was here today. You will be paid for your time by the State of Connecticut.”

66. **7-15-2012 (p.58)**: Letter from Horowitz to Judge Abery Wetstone (Hartford) stamped “received” by Accounts Payable on 9/28/2012. Less redacted copy of Paul's shows:

- **Billed 11 hours at \$325/hour, total \$3,575. State rate is \$128.75/hour.**
- **Horowitz called DCF on 5/23/2012.**
- Only one party was psychologically tested (Heather)
- Claims Horowitz spent 6 hours on 5/23/2012 on phone reviewing procedure, reviewing docs, emails with unnamed party.
- Originally paid from CSSD account meant for GAL's, then changed to CSSD “therapeutic services” account.

67. **8/15/2012 (p.66-69)**: Judge Calmar appoints Attorney Susan Hamilton to act as the Boyne children's Guardian Ad Litem, and that the State will pay her fees. The Public Defender's Office subsequently refused to produce for Paul copies of any invoices submitted by Hamilton on the Boyne case.

68. **9-26-2012 (p.58-59)**: Letter from Horowitz to CSSD Regional Manager Debra Kulak (“received” by Accounts Payable on 10-11-2012), enclosing invoice for 7/11/2012 hearing on Boyne case. This letter was not given to Paul:

- Emails between Kulak and Horowitz on 9-13-2012 referenced also not provided to Paul or Journalist.
- Claims \$643.75 for witness fees “per subpoena” (denied by Judge Olear on 6-21-2012).
- Although 7/11/2012 hearing lasted moments (see transcript on p.39-40), Horowitz has charged the State for 5 hours of billing time for his [unnecessary] appearance on behalf of a private party. Charges \$128.75 per hour (State rate) as opposed to previous invoice billed at \$325/hr (see p.56).
- Horowitz: *“I understand this bill will be paid at State Rates per Judge Abery-Wetstone.”* Transcripts do not reference any discussion or orders by Judge Abery Wetstone specifying an amount or the rate at which Horowitz is to be paid.

July 2012 Hearing

On 6/21/2012, Judge Olear denied Heather's motion for the State to pay Horowitz's witness fees to appear at the 7/11/2012 hearing. With no additional motion for Horowitz's fees reflected on the record, Judge Wetstone opined at the July hearing:

“And I apologize to the witness that was here today. You will be paid for your time by the State of Connecticut.”

QUESTIONS:

- *Which witness is Wetstone referring to?
- *Why did Wetstone believe the witness needed to be paid by the State?
- *What “time” is Wetstone talking about?
- *What amount, or at what rate will the State pay the unnamed witness?
- *Regardless, Horowitz sought and received State reimbursement for his fees to appear at the 7/11/2012 at the Boyne's hearing. Is this good policy?

Why didn't Horowitz enclose this invoice together with the letter he wrote to Judge Abery Wetstone on 7/15/2012?

69. **P.59:** Judge Lynda B. Munro (Middletown) approves undated invoice for \$643.75 for Horowitz's *witness fees* related to 7/11/2012 hearing in *Hartford* on the Boyne case. Term "psych eval" is underlined. Why?
70. **November 2012 (p.78-89):** Judge Gerard Adelman orders Paul and children to attend "Transitions in Parenting" program at Klingberg Family Center.
- See CT State's Access and Visitation grant application submitted to HHS, CT multi-agency agreement targeting fathers via prisons, child support system, signed by CSSD, Dept. of Corrections, Dept. of Public Health, Dept. of Labor, Dept. of Education, etc.:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126298352/CT-Family-Court-Grants-Fatherhood-Access-and-Visitation-DOJ>
 - "Transitions in Parenting" Program (TIP) is contracted and funded by CSSD with Federal HHS Access and Visitation (AV) grants. Read about the federally funded supervised visitation industry bubble, see statistics on skyrocketing court ordered enrollment in CT's AV programs:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/131028210/CT-Supervised-Visitation-Industry-Bubble-Funded-By-Taxpayers>
 - See Klingberg's contract with CSSD to provide TIP program services, supervised visitation to CSSD clients:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/131040512/CT-Court-s-Contract-With-Klingberg-Family-Services-TIP-Program-Supervised-Visitation>
 - CSSD's Debra Kulak, member and fundraiser for the Association of Family and Conciliation Courts (per AFCC newsletters, publications) ultimately oversees AV programs, funding. Read about AFCC's history promoting welfare grant steering scams the pedophile agenda, supervised visitation industry here:
<http://www.newsmakingnews.com/ross,familycourtcorrupt2nd2,19,03.htm>
71. **1/18/2013 (p.74-77):** Paul contacts HHS with concerns that CSSD's "therapeutic" TIP program is in violation of State and Federal guidelines prohibiting courts from ordering parents into therapy. Email to Paul from Michelle M. Jadczyk, MSW, Program Development Branch Chief, Division of State, Tribal, and Local Assistance, ACF Office of Child Support Enforcement indicates there may be no criteria applied to AV grant approval process:
- "Please note that there is no federal sub-regulatory guidance for the AV program."***
72. **January-February 2013 (p.70-74):** Klingberg cites TIP rules to justify why social worker ended Paul's time with daughter for whispering, and "making promises" (PB: "I will always love you.") Paul requests copy of TIP Program manual from CSSD, Klingberg Center. Klingberg denies request, CSSD Regional Manager Kulak informs Paul that she is unwilling or unable to provide a copy of any TIP manual or guidelines.
73. **2/15/2013 (p.61-65):** Emails from DCF Legal Director Barbara J. Claire, Esq. to Paul states DCF did not make a record of Horowitz's 5/23/2012 call, forwards Horowitz's

notes about abuse/neglect report Horowitz attempted to make against Heather on 5/23/2012.

74. **2/20/2013 (p.62):** Email from DCF Legal Director Barbara J. Claire, Esq. to Paul:

“There is no [DCF] contract with Sidney Horowitz. There is no personal services agreement with him.”

75. **2/28/2013 (p.78-89):** CSSD Supervisor Margaret Romanik’s report recommending against Paul’s parenting time.

- (p.80) Provides blank unsigned forms to support statement that Paul has not complied with TIP program’s Client Agreement, rules.
- Romanik does not meet with any members of the Boyne family, including the children, to discuss the information from Klingberg before issuing the scathing report.
- To date, Klingberg was not authorized to “treat” the Boyne’s for any mental impairments, not authorized to “supervise” or restrict the children’s time with their father. Yet the Klingberg social worker repeatedly interrupts the children’s communications with Paul, then ridicules and restrict’s Paul’s efforts to show and tell his children he loves them.
- TIP program rules discourage, but do not prohibit gift giving. Paul is written up for giving his children a card and a fiction book, offering his phone number, discussing the location of a local Burger King, whispering “I will always love you,” and not wanting to see his daughter make animal sounds through a straw that was never in the room during the visit.

B. Evelyn Grajales v. Frank Bell [NNI-FA95-4013097]

76. AFCC Members involved in Bell case include:

- Judge Holly Abery Wetstone
- Judge Lynda B. Munro
- Psychologist Sidney Horowitz
- Alison Kaas (now a court employee)
- Quinnipiac Law Clinic
- Dr. Kenneth Robson

77. In 2008, Horowitz and Dr. Kenneth Robson were appointed to evaluate Frank Bell in the custody and support case of Grajales v. Bell.

78. The following are inconsistencies in their billing invoices submitted to the State for reimbursement:

- (a) The case documents, invoices referenced can be located here:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/136250720/Grajales-v-Bell-OR-Judicial->

Branch-v-Taxpayers

- (b) Grajales v. Bell was first opened by the State in 1995, and was closed when the children reached the age of majority in 2010.
- (c) Courtesy of the CT tax payers, Quinnipiac Law Clinic provided children's attorneys (Alison Kaas), and GAL's for the Bell children until they were 18. QL Clinic director is AFCC organizer Carolyn Kaas:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/135006383/Judicial-Branch-Email-to-900-Court-Professionals-Soliciting-AFCC-Business>
- (d) Quinnipiac Law is a Judicial Branch contractor, Judge Munro is a salaried part-time professor at Quinnipiac. Judge Munro also founded the CT AFCC and the State's GAL certification program, holds the GAL training seminars at Quinnipiac, and personally chose Kaas to teach the State's GAL training program at QL.
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/134137761/CT-s-Required-Guardian-Ad-Litem-Training-Materials-Qualified-Immunity-No-Oversight-No-Decertification-Process>
- (e) According to the rulings and the 396 entries listed on the case registry, Frank Bell had a long dark past history which included various violent assaults on his family, threats, mental illness, religious extremism, and stalking and misusing the courts to stalk and harass his family. When Grajales reports these crimes, she is ordered to pay for the children's cell phones to stop Bell from calling her. Punish the victim much?
- (f) Bell repeatedly violates orders, then successfully files motions for the State to pay for experts to defend multiple motions he files against Grajales.
- (g) P.16-23: In 1996, Bell was charged with violating a restraining order, harassment, and filing false complaints with authorities after he made over 36 threatening phone calls to the children's therapist and showed up to Grajales home. He also filed false reports with DCF that Grajales neglected the kids because she did not get them tested for lead poisoning after they were exposed to lead paint in BELL'S OWN HOME.
- (h) P. 24-26: In 2007, Judge Prestley denied Grajales motion to order Bell to undergo a psych eval. Subsequently, Grajales filed a motion for contempt to address issues concerning Bell's use of fire arms, paranoid rantings to his sons about "the blood of the children," and Grajales trying to incarcerate him. Hearing was set by clerk Buffy Irizarry for 11/21/2007. Parents ordered not to speak to children about these traumatic incidences. The hearing is delayed 4 times, and in the mean time, Bell files his own contempt motions against Grajales, then successfully motions the court to have the State pay the witness fees.

- (i) P.27: The Marshall dated the affidavit of service on the Contempt 11/13/2007. The document is file stamped "received" by the court on 11/28/2007.
- (j) P. 28-29: on 12/3/2007, Judge Prestley appoints Dr. Sidney Horowitz to assess Bell. Horowitz never assesses Bell, recuses himself off the case, then bills the State for his services.
- (k) P.29-32: Horowitz has charged the State \$300 per hour for his services. State rate for contract psychologists is \$128.75, but Horowitz does not have a State contract.
- (l) P. 33: Prestley suspends Bell's parenting time and appoints Dr. Kenneth Robson to evaluate Bell because she has "serious concerns about the welfare of the Plaintiff."
- (m) P. 34-42: Instead of evaluating just Bell, Robson instead performs a custody evaluation on the entire family and charges the State several thousand dollars for his services. He decides that Bell has serious mental health issues, is violent and abusive to Grajales and his current live in girlfriend in front of the Bell children, but that Bell should have more [unsupervised] parenting time.
- (n) P.71-120: Some of Robson's bills match dates of criminal case hearings for a Frank Bell listed in the criminal court's registry. Robson was only appointed to assist with Bell's family court matter. It is not known if they are the same person.
- (o) P. 43: On 8/31/2009 Judge Munro issues a trial decision. By then, the children are over 17, and well capable of speaking up for themselves. What exactly did Prestley and Munro think the value to the children of a custody eval and 18 solid years of litigation with a paranoid predator would be?

C. Sunny Liberti v. Robert Liberti

79. AFCC affiliates involved in Liberti case include:

- Judge Holly Aberly Wetstone
- Judge Gerard Adelman
- Judge Lynda B. Munro
- Attorney Steven Dembo
- Psychologist Sidney Horowitz
- Dr. Kenneth Robson
- Dr. Linda S. Smith
- Yale Child Study Center

80. Journalist Keith Harmon Snow reported on the Liberti case in May 2012:
<http://www.consciousbeingalliance.com/2012/05/a-life-sentence-family-courts-sacrificing-mothers-and-children-in-america/>
81. The Washington Times reported on the Liberti case in February 2013:
<http://communities.washingtontimes.com/neighborhood/heart-without-compromise-children-and-children-wit/2013/feb/28/finding-ground-zero-connecticut-part-i/>
82. A copy of GAL Maureen Murphy's invoices on the Liberti case can be found here:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126436173/gal-maureen-murphy-s-billing-questions-re-liberti-v-liberti>
- (a) In 2010, Attorney Maureen Murphy was court appointed to represent 9-year old Max Liberti's best interests and wishes in the divorce case of Liberti v. Liberti.
 - (b) Attorney Murphy billed at a rate of \$300 per hour, collecting over \$100,000 in fees from Max's home.
 - (c) Most of Attorney Murphy's time appears to be spent reviewing court documents, talking to the visitation supervisor and other court affiliated professionals---but never with Max.
 - (d) One cannot definitively determine from looking at the invoices alone whether Attorney Murphy actually met Max in person. While Max's name is referenced on the RE: line on the first page of each bill, her direct contact with him is never clearly referenced in the bills.
 - (e) Given that Max had never been injured in his mother's care, did Max wish he could have taken his chances living with mom, then put the \$ paid to Murphy and Sarno in a college fund for himself? Why did Attorney Murphy believe that so many paid strangers had positions more credible than Max's? Did she know Max?
 - (f) Time Murphy billed Max's parents for conversations she allegedly had with Dr. Kenneth Robson and NJ Sarno (supervised visitation) was not reflected in the billing invoices of the corresponding providers. Cross reference NJ Sarno bills: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/126246254/NJ-Sarno-s-Billing-Invoices-Robert-Liberti-v-Sunny-Liberti>

Robson's bills in the Liberti case: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/126252311/Dr-Kenneth-Robson-s-Bills-re-Liberti-v-Liberti>

Robson's bills to the court: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/126252311/Dr-Kenneth-Robson-s-Bills-re-Liberti-v-Liberti>

83. Dr. Kenneth Robson's bills on the Liberti case can be found here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126252311/Dr-Kenneth-Robson-s-Bills-re-Liberti-v-Liberti>

- (a) After 8 year old Max Liberti disclosed that his father sexually assaulted him in 2010, the court appointed psychiatrist Dr. Kenneth Stone Robson to evaluate his family.
- (b) Robson billed the family at a rate of \$350 per hour for a total of \$17,163 over the course of approximately 3 months.
- (c) According to the invoices, Dr. Robson met Max twice, spending 2.5 hours with Max while his parents were present.
- (d) Dr. Robson's testimony in favor of placing Max with his father can be found here. 8/25/2011 Testimony:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/97729611/8-25-11-Liberti-v-Liberti>
- (e) Dr. Robson's 8/26/2011 Testimony about Max's injured anus, anal fissures, disclosures of rape: <http://www.scribd.com/doc/97729640/8-26-11-Liberti-v-Liberti>
- (f) Max's father, Robert Liberti, obtained sole custody of Max.
- (g) Max's mother, who was never found to have harmed or neglected Max, was placed in supervised visitation to prevent her from seeking medical attention for Max's injuries.

84. Max has not been allowed to see his mother in over one year, due to the fact that she cannot afford to purchase time with him through NJ Sarno, who had charged Sunny approximately \$10,000 per month for parenting time. See invoices here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126246254/NJ-Sarno-s-Billing-Invoices-Robert-Liberti-v-Sunny-Liberti>

- (a) NJ Sarno, Inc. is a supervised visitation center previously known as The Children's Center for Visitation (CT Business Registration #0621601) which was located inside AFCC member Louise Traux's office.
- (b) Owner Nick Sarno's real name is not Sarno, it's Nicholas Siconolfi, and he's related to Judicial Branch Manager Thomas A Siconolfi. Whether Nick is related to Pamela Sarno who oversaw Horowitz's contracts with the Judicial Branch is unclear.
- (c) According to the attached lawsuit, NJ Sarno, Inc. is owned by Sarno and a

Trumbull, CT police officer. The motion states Sarno used multiple aliases and false statements when applying for a gun permit for use during work conducting supervised visitation.

- (d) In just over a year, NJ Sarno billed Sunny Kelly over \$105,000 for supervised visitation services, at approximately \$10,000 per month.
- (e) What, if any professional qualifications, certifications, licensure or insurance Sarno may have to run a supervised visitation center in the State of CT is unknown.
- (f) GAL Maureen Murphy approved the referral to Sarno, and spent more billing time conversing with Sarno than she did with Max alone.
- (g) Max has not seen his mother in over a year. When Sunny's mother declared bankruptcy and could no longer pay Sarno, Sarno refused to provide services. Neither GAL Murphy nor her replacement have taken action to date to facilitate parenting time between Max and his mother in light of Sarno's unavailability.

85. Dr. Robson's and NJ Sarno's testimony at a hearing on 2/22/2011 can be found here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126529767/Liberti-v-Liberti-Transcript-of-2-22-2011-Hearing>

D. Susan Skipp v. Shawn Tittle

86. AFCC affiliates involved in Skipp-Tittle case include:

- Judge Gerard Adelman
- Judge Lynda B. Munro
- Psychologist Sidney Horowitz
- Psychiatrist Howard Kreiger
- Dr. Linda S. Smith
- Visitation Solutions, Inc.

87. The Washington Times reported on the Skipp-Tittle case in March 2013:

<http://communities.washingtontimes.com/neighborhood/heart-without-compromise-children-and-children-wit/2013/mar/1/immunity-guardian-ad-litem-destroys-connecticut-fa/>

88. GAL Mary Brigham's bills on the Skipp-Tittle case can be found here:

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/125759601/attorney-mary-brigham-s-billing-on-shawn-tittle-v-susan-skipp-case-middletown-ct-fa10-4022922-s>

- (a) P. 1: In 2010, Attorney Mary Brigham was appointed to serve as the Skipp/Tittle children's Guardian Ad Litem (GAL). Under this appointment, Brigham was to

be paid \$300 per hour by the parties to represent the children's wishes and best interests. Attorney Brigham was not appointed to represent Dr. Tittle's wishes and best interests.

- (b) Brigham's billing records show that between September 2010 and November 2011 she billed Susan for over 196 hours of her time, yet only a small handful of billing hours were used to meet with the children alone (3 instances I can identify using these bills alone.) What else could the children's homes have used the \$56,740 for?
- (c) Over that same period, Brigham's time was billed to Susan, but largely spent with Dr. Tittle and his attorney, talking about billing, as well as emailing unnamed parties.
- (d) The court's register of actions and these bills show Susan was also charged for the time Attorney Brigham spent drafting, filing, and prosecuting at least 6 contempt motions against Susan for not paying these GAL fees.
- (e) At a hearing in 2012, Judge Robert Resha held Susan in contempt based on the GAL's motion, then required Susan to liquidate her teacher's retirement pension to pay Attorney Brigham \$20,000 in fees or be incarcerated. A marshal with shackles attended the hearing. How did Susan's children benefit from this \$20,000 ordered diverted away from their home and care to the GAL?
- (f) P.3: Although Brigham did speak to Dr. Sidney Horowitz, the children's therapist, once about an unspecified topic, there is nothing on the record to indicate Brigham [did or did not] followed up Susan's concerns about Dr. Horowitz's billing practices (On 8/15/2012, Horowitz testified before Judge Linda B Munro kept 1 medical chart for 2 different children, diagnosed them with major psychiatric illnesses, used the wrong billing codes with insurance company.)
- (g) At a hearing before Judge Linda B. Munro in July 2012, Judge Munro stated that Attorney Brigham had acted outside the scope of her appointment when she went to Susan's home to collect the children on Dr. Tittle's behalf, offered to buy them ice cream, tried to coerce them into her personal vehicle, and in an attempt to drive them to Dr. Tittle's home over an hour away. Is \$300 per hour too much to pay for a taxi or babysitter for a 10 and 12 year old?
- (h) There is nothing on the court record to indicate that Attorney Brigham took the time to submit an affidavit of fees or itemized bills on the record which would account for her time on the Skipp case in the months leading up to trial. Nonetheless, the 2012 trial orders show Judge Munro ordered Susan to pay tens of thousands MORE to Attorney Brigham in GAL fees.
- (i) January 2013, the court register shows that Attorney Brigham filed several motions to garnish Susan's (but not Dr. Tittle's) wages to pay tens of thousands MORE in GAL fees accumulated between the last bill and the trial in August 2012. All of these motions were denied. Judge Cutsumpas wrote in his 1/17/2012 orders that *"The issue raised in this motion concern findings and orders of Judge Munro which are on appeal. By necessity, they must be decided by the Appellate*

Court. Hence, this court denies the motion.” Who’s best interests have been served here?

89. This is the transcript from the 8/15/2012 hearing on the Skipp case where Dr. Sidney Horowitz was cross examined.

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126272714/Dr-Sidney-Horowitz-Testimony-re-Medical-Billing-Irregularities-Shawn-Tittle-v-Susan-Skipp>

- (a) P.6: Dr. Sidney Horowitz was appointed to serve as the counselor for Wyatt and Gabrielle Tittle in the Shawn Tittle v. Susan Skipp divorce case.
- (b) P.11, 12, 14: Horowitz states that he incorrectly billed the insurance company for the Tittle children’s care. Without obtaining permission to evaluate the children or running the proper diagnostic tests, he diagnosed the daughter with Major Depressive Disorder, then downgraded it to an adjustment disorder when called out on it.
- (c) P.12: Horowitz admits he improperly used an individual billing code instead of the required code for family therapy.
- (d) GAL Mary Brigham objects to mother’s attorney’s question to Horowitz, Court responds “*Okay. You don’t get to object.*”
- (e) P.16: Horowitz admits he failed to share with the parents that he had taken it upon himself to diagnose their children with mental disorders.
- (f) P. 17: Horowitz admits that he used 1 chart and 1 billing record to cover both Wyatt and Gabrielle.
- (g) P. 6: “*On 3/26/2012, for the first time in my career, I recused myself from a clinical case by sending an email to the GAL, in this matter, Mary Brigham.*” Why? Because Susan Skipp requested copies of her billing invoices, questioned his billing practices as fraudulent, and tried to communicate directly with him about her children’s care.
- (h) Horowitz recused himself in the case of Evelyn Grajales v. Frank Bell, which ended in 2010.
- (i) Horowitz recused himself via email in the case of Boyne v. Boyne in May 2012. See <http://www.scribd.com/doc/126239188/Dr-Sidney-Horowitz-s-Billing-Records-PART-3-Boyne-v-Boyne>

90. Susan Skipp also was denied copies of bills from Dr. Kreiger. The billing docs obtained from the insurance company can be found here:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126588063/Skipp-Kreiger-Documents>
- (a) When Susan complained to Kreiger that he was not addressing her children's concerns about their father assaulting them, her car burst into flames while she was driving it.
 - (b) While Susan was recovering from her injuries from the accident, a severe concussion, broken bones, etc. Kreiger insisted she undergo a psychological evaluation that was neither court approved or scientifically valid
 - (c) When Susan insisted on copies of Kreiger's bills, he testified against her at trial saying that domestic violence was not a factor in her case. However, the bills show Kreiger used domestic violence codes to bill the insurance company.
 - (d) Susan Skipp lost custody of her children and was ordered to purchase parenting time through supervised visitation provider Visitation Solutions, Inc. which charges \$150 per hours.
 - (e) Susan Skipp has not seen her children since August 2012.
91. Susan Skipp's complaints to the CT Department of Public Health (who signed the multi-agency agreement which requires it to provide extra-ordinary assistance to fathers, arbitrarily find in favor of fathers and reduce the amount of time mothers spend with their kids) were rejected.
- (a) Complaint regarding Howard Kreiger:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126601263/Connecticut-Department-of-Public-Health>
 - (b) Complaints regarding Sidney Horowitz:
<http://www.scribd.com/doc/126601276/Horowitz>

The persons involved are in positions of authority as State actors who assume sole control over the legal industry, who are also personally invested in the private corporations and business entities which feed off the public and private funds flowing through the marketplace they oversee.

Examples:

-UNFAIR COMPETITION: For 30 the last years and up until the present, AFCC in CT and on the national level has been run by Judicial Branch employees from the Hartford Court's offices. However, they have not registered the AFCC to do business in CT. By retaining both a State regulatory role and also a vested personal financial stake in the interests of the private corporation, the AFCC retains an unfair advantage over the legal services industry. While other similar organizations must pay rent, hire staff, pay taxes, and subject themselves to oversight authorities, the AFCC does not. It is a private corporation running largely on public dollars and "free" public labor from an office that inside the courthouse itself. Since the AFCC is not registered, they do not have to submit to State and Federal laws governing their industry because they are not on the map of the oversight authorities. They are not burdened by costs that legitimate businesses in their industry must incur, such as taxes, fees, registration, social security, etc. This pattern has been repeated across several States and in various jurisdictions.

-MARKETPLACE CONTROL: Because the State Judicial Branch Administrators and the AFCC are one and the same, they are able to appoint and promote only AFCC officers to key positions overseeing and administering public programs they personally benefit from. This ensures that consumers are always buying AFCC products, and those who attempt to 'opt out' are steered back to AFCC owned enterprises. Those who try to change the system or compete, and those who are aggrieved by AFCC have their complaints steered to other AFCC members who keep the system in place for their own financial benefit. For instance, the head administrative judge, the manager of the court's probation program, the manager of

-BID RIGGING: Nearly all contracts awarded by the State to train court staff and GAL's are awarded to AFCC and its' members. While the Judicial Branch does award training contracts to non-AFCC members, the vendor reports show that they rarely utilize those contracts. They create sham corporations to funnel money through, and to make it appear as if the marketplace is more diverse than it really is.