FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ERIE

IN THE MATTER OF SOPHIA COULOTUE File #: 204566 Docket #: NN-02494-17

MATTHEW COULOUTE, JR.

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Respondent

Upon the affirmation of Matthew Couloute Jr., this 7th day of September 2017 and upon the exhibits annexed thereto, it is

ORDERED that the Respondent Lauren Haidon appear before this Court on the 14th Day of September, 2017 at 9:00 o'clock in the morning of that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, why sanctions, fines, and other restraints including the termination of Ms. Haidon's parental rights should not be granted and imposed against Respondent Lauren Haidon for the violation of the Court's Orders prohibiting Ms. Haidon from disclosing information received during the proceedings of this case. Additionally, this Respondent request any further relief as this Court may deem just, necessary and appropriate.

ORDERED that service by registered and relectronic mail of a copy of this Order together with the papers upon which it is granted be served upon Ms. Haidon's attorney of record on or before the 11th day of September 2017, by electronic mail and/or by prepaid postal service and that such service be deemed good and sufficient

Dated: Septe 60 8,2017

Hon. Sharon M. Lovallo Family Court Fudge

FAMILY COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF ERIE

IN THE MATTER OF SOPHIA COULOUTE

File #: 204566

Docket #: NN-02494-17

MATTHEW COULOUTE, JR. Respondent

AFFIRMATION IN SUPPORT OF ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

I, MATTHEW COULOUTE JR, being duly sworn, deposed and says:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed in the State of Connecticut and the State of New York. I am the biological father of Sophia Couloute. I reside in the State of Georgia. The factual statements made herein are based on my personal knowledge as well as the documents annexed hereto. I respectfully submit this affidavit in support of my Order to Show Cause and request the court enter a Protective Order Against Ms. Haidon restricting her from further breaching the confidentiality of the family proceeding by disseminating confidential information provided to her counsel.
- On or about May 1, 2017, the Parties were duly informed of the harm done
 to the minor child by the deliberate distribution of sensitive information
 regarding alleged sexual abuse of the minor child given to the public for
 commentary.
- 3. On May 2, 2017, the very next day, Divorceinconnecticut.blogspot.com wrote a "story" regarding the pending neglect proceeding and listed anonymous comments that could only be presented by someone directly involved in the court proceeding. The blog, owned and written by Catharine Sloper, pseudonym of Elizabeth Richter is a close friend of Ms. Haidon's and part of the "coalition" in Connecticut that is "fighting to reform the Connecticut Family Court System." Ms. Richter/Sloper befriended Ms. Haidon during our divorce and is the unidentified witness in Ms. Haidon's Federal lawsuit filed against her prior Connecticut attorney Budlong. Additionally, another member of their "coalition" is Mr. Paul Boyne who uses the aliases "John Owens", "Wilbur Templeton and

"Political Monster." As part of the coalition he started repetitively calling, the Bloomfield Police Department, disgruntled about Ms. Haidon's warrant and subsequent arrest. He then posted on YouTube and other social media sites a collage of photographs of Bloomfield Police Office Danaher, who wrote the warrant for Ms. Haidon, calling him a pedophile. Paul Boyne is responsible for the familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com. Both of these individuals are friends with Ms. Haidon. Currently there is an active warrant out for the arrest of Mr. Boyne for similar conduct in a case out of Glastonbury, Connecticut.

- 4. On or about May 6, 2017 I contacted Mr. Haidon (Ms. Haidon's father) and Mr. Haidon only, letting him know that that I was going to be late picking Sophia up at the designated location. Less than two hours after the late pick up, a description of my car and other particulars only known to Mr. Haidon were printed on the divorceinconnecticut.blogspot.com site as an anonymous post.
- 5. On or about June 26, 2017 in an attempt to comply with the request of the court, I sent an email (enclosed) with attachments to Attorney Creighton, Attorney Ball, Attorney Paladino and Attorney Vertlieb. The attachments to the email were only sent to the attorneys. Except for the originator of the document, the documents have not been available to the public.
- On or about July 5, 2017 a confidential letter regarding a medical diagnosis and the results of my polygraph were posted to Mr. Boyne's blog titled "Jewish Adjustment Disorder". (enclosed)
- 7. The only place that Mr. Boyne would be able to obtain those documents f are from Attorney Creighton, Attorney Ball, Attorney Palidino, Attorney Vertlieb or a person they forwarded the documents to i.e. Ms. Haidon or Mr. Haidon.
- 8. On July 5, 2017 I attempted to address the issues with the attorneys by writing an email (enclosed) asking for suggestions on how we could collectively "address" the issues present by said disclosures. As of the date of this Affidavit I have not received a response from anyone.
- At that point, I stopped sending any and all documents that were suppose
 to be provided to the attorneys to intern be presented to the Court as
 documentary evidence as the Court requested.
- 10. On or about July 17, 2017, this Court issued an Order restricting the parties from disseminating any information to the public, either in-person or through a third party.

- 11. On the same day, a blog was written by Ms. Haidon's friend and fellow "conspiracy theorist" regarding the issuance of the Court's "gag order".
- 12. Since this Courts Order has been in place, Ms. Haidon has continuously, consistently, and without regard for the minor child or this Court disclosed information to Mr. Boyne either directly, or indirectly that has been reproduced in racist, sexist, homophobic and anti-Semitic rants.
- 13. The disclosures have now gotten so blatant and brazen that the blogs now include pictures of this Respondents minor children directly stating that they are victims of pedophilia at the hands of this Respondent and others.
- 14. Further, this Respondents children are referred to as "play toys" that are being "passed around" from state-to-state and pedophile-to-pedophile within an organized "ring or organization".
- 15. Ms. Haidon and Mr. Boyne continue to feed each other's delusions resulting in the defamation of this Respondent and more importantly the victimization of the minor children who have not been abused by me.

WHEREFORE, I, MATTHEW COULOUTE JR., respectfully and adamantly request that this Court sanction, punish, fine, further restrain and take all necessary and appropriate action including the termination of Ms. Haidon's parental rights to end the victimization of the minor child involved in this matter.

Dated: Cumming, Georgia

September 7, 2017

MATTHEW COLLOUTE JR.

FAMILY COURT CIRCUS

Legal Destruction Of Families

The Boogey Man!

SEPTEMBER 5, 2017SEPTEMBER 5, 2017 / FAMILYCOURTCIRCUSBLOG

According to the Family Court of New York State there is no such thing as a pedophile ring!!! Just like J. Edgar Hoover stated there was no organized crime, Judge Sharon LoVallo rules from the bench that pedophile rings who traffick children for sexual pleasures do not exist. It is a figment of your imagination. Boogey Man (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bogeyman) is real, pedophile rings are not. And by the way, if you are a mother who thinks there are pedophiles raping your kids, well, you have a mental defect and the court of LoVallo will take your kids away.


Yes folks, can't make this up. Bat shit crazy Judge Sharon LoVallo of the Erie County Family Court has ruled; mothers who believe there are evil <u>pedophiles</u>

(http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/europe/europol-fbi-joint-investigation-operation-pacifier-uncovers-global-paedophilia-ring-870-arrests-a7722821.html) in our society, preying on children are just crazy and have their children taken away. Yes, this is America, this is the twenty-first century, this is the New York Judiciary in public view, telling you what to think.

The details are from a court hearing last week in Buffalo. NY Department of Social Services holding a neglect petition against Matthew Condel Couloute, the infamous child abuser who shares his daughter with other attorneys, does not pay child support and is generally protected by the child traffickers of family court in Connecticut; like Judge Annie Dranginis and Anna Ficeto. Well, Judge LoVallo is protecting the ring and has crushed mom for thinking some swinging dicks are poking her daughter. Baby Dragon games anyone?

Note that NY CPS ordered mom to take the sexually abused kid to Children's Hospital after several reports of kid exhibiting behavior indicative of being drugged after returned from visit with Couloute. Three doses every night of the bubble gum flavor followed by the bad tasting medicine, for a girl who is not sick. Medical professionals at Children's in Buffalo confirmed the girl was sexually abused and drugged out of her mind while in Georgia. True to form, Judge LoVallo attacked the mother for complying with CPS directive in taking the kid to the hospital. Anybody else getting a sense that Judge Sharon LoVallo is a puppet for some very powerful people who have use for this little girl? If you have an opinion of Judge Sharon LoVallo, better keep it to yourself, lest the Boogey Man gets ya!!


So get it straight people....the Boogey Man is real, the Tooth Fairy is real, Santa Claus is real, but pedophile rings do not exist, kids are not trafficked in this country, you can trust family court, now return to your lives, nothing to see here.



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/09/02/couloute admits-georgia-residency/couloute-and-kids/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/09/02/couloute admits-georgia-residency/couloute-kids/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/09/05/theboogey-man/american-actor-just-exposed-hollywoods-organizedpedophilia-ring/)

Judge Sharon LoVallo, Matthew Couloute, Uncategorized

AMY THUMAN **ATTORNEY KELLY BALL**

ATTORNEY LAUREN CREIGHTON **ATTORNEY**

MATTHEW COULOUTE **ATTORNEY YVONNE**

CHILD TRAFFICKING **VERTLIEB ERIE COUNTY FAMILY COURT** LUDGE PAULA FEROLETO

IUDGE

SHARON LOVALLO

FAMILY COURT CIRCUS

Legal Destruction Of Families

Couloute Admits Georgia Residency

SEPTEMBER 2, 2017SEPTEMBER 2, 2017 / FAMILYCOURTCIRCUSBLOG

After ten months of pleadings claiming protection of Connecticut Family Court to judicial authorities in New York, Attorney Matthew Couloute admits to Judge LoVallo that he has been living in Georgia all along. Perjury admitted in open court.

Lying in family court is a judicial standard that is protected by doting judges whose discretion is purchased. Lying by a member of the Bar is commonplace in American jurisprudence; goes with the territory and the privilege of being above the law. The drama of Couloute took a felony turn this week, but will the perjury be pursued? Will he be charged for criminal conduct in a court of law? Will the Family Courts of Connecticut and New York uphold any form of legitimacy in punishing criminal conduct? Doubt it. But stay tuned.

The Game of Courts has been upended. No longer is Couloute shielded by his judicial protectors in Hartford and Milford. No longer can he get Judge Sybil Richards to abuse a mother by breaking the law to conceal his legally required financials, no longer can Judge Jose Suarez claim custody control over an abused child, no longer can Bloomfield Police act has his personal henchmen to traffick his daughter, no longer can State's Attorney Kevin Kane misuse public office to harass a mother by false prosecution. He can no longer rely on Judge Omar Williams to issue false arrest warrants for his x wife. Jurisdiction has now shifted to California for his son and to New York for his daughter. Both states require financial disclosure. Each state has full control over what is best for the children. Connecticut judicial discretion has been removed from the drama; a good thing.

Judge LoVallo did put on an Oscar performance for being shocked to learn that Couloute has been living in Georgia all the this time, even though she and Judge Suarez held official documentation of his Cumming residence and Georgia driver's license. But true to family court form, she put on a great display of judicial shock; but did not order arrest for perjury. Judge LoVallo still has to answer to her masters on how she lost control of the script and why the matter is still in her court and not back in the Pedo Utopia of Connecticut.

Baby Aaden, if only Connecticut had cared as much for you as Couloute's kids.



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/04/25/matthew couloute/coulette2/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/05/01/judge-sharon-lovallo/sharonl/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/05/05/judge-omar-a-williams/screen-shot-2017-05-05-at-12-32-55-am/)




(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/07/20/judge-suarez-the-goofball/josesuarez/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/08/02/spotlight judge-sybil-v-richards/sybil-richards/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/09/02/couloute admits-georgia-residency/couloute-kids/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/09/02/couloute admits-georgia-residency/couloute-and-kids/)

FAMILY COURT CIRCUS

Legal Destruction Of Families

GAG ORDER!!!

<u>IULY 17, 2017JULY 20, 2017</u> / <u>FAMILYCOURTCIRCUSBLOG</u>
Silence you knaves, the wicked witch has issued a GAG order. Do not speak of matters inside her court. Battered mothers, broken bones, pedophiles, corrupt prosecutors, abused children, child trafficking and abuse of power is not a matter for you little people who pretend to live in a democracy with rights.

Batshit crazy child trafficking nut Judge Sharon LoVallo of Erie County Family Court has put her tongue on the First Amendment's third rail of prior restraint. She is zipping lips, silencing the press, turning off the media, shutting down the internet, chilling public speech of matters in a public forum. The Star Chamber has sealed itself.

Now let's take a look at this silliness. There is a jurisdictional question between Judge LoVallo of NY and Judge Suarez of Connecticut. Judge Suarez will appear by phone for a hearing in Judge LoVallo's court. The NY court has no power to silence the CT court. The transcripts of any such hearing are public information in CT, not subject to a NY gag.

In the meantime, the people have been thwarted in their right to scrutinize the monster in black who seals her court to hide her unfaithfulness to the law, her bias & prejudice against victims of domestic violence and abused kids. Pedos tend to side with their type. Will the public have an opportunity to be heard as to why gagging a public proceeding of such societal import is in the interest of liberty?

Recap this case: Matthew Condel Couloute, Jr. abandons X and daughter in October, he moves to Georgia. Grandpa rescues battered mother and abused child, brings to safe haven in NY, who seizes the child for protection from neglect and abuse. Governor of Connecticut

issues arrest warrant for mother on behalf of the resident of GA to get the kid back. CT prosecutor admits charges are false, but threatens charges stick until NY surrenders the kid. NY CPS says CT can't protect the child as abuse/neglect happened in CT. Two states fighting over a kid. NY wants to protect the kid, CT uses it as a play toy in Game of Dragons.....becareful of dripping sword.

Stay tuned, this is a story. Constitutional issue of gag order is a minor distraction, but scholars of the First Amendment have a hair trigger when it comes to prior restraint.

Does the gag order apply to the gag (https://familycourtcircusblog.files.wordpress.com/2017/07/gagorderlovallo.pdf) order?



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/07/17/gag-order/censored/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/07/17/gag-order/first-flag/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/07/17/gag-order/gag/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/07/17/gag-order/lovo-gag/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/07/11/public-scrutiny-of-public-forums/img_3684/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/05/01/judge-sharon-lovallo/sharonl/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/04/25/matthew couloute/couloute/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/05/05/judge-omar-a-williams/ob-vs360_malloy_g_20121217174258/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/05/01/judge-sharon-lovallo/wicked-witch-west/)



(https://familycourtcircusblog.wordpress.com/2017/04/24/lifes-a-bitch/bitch_on_a_broomstick/)

Judge Sharon LoVallo

JUDGE JOSE SUAREZ MATTHEW COULOUTE

IUDGE SHARON LOVALLO

At a term of the Family Court of the State of New York, held in and for the County of Erie, at Courthouse, One Niagara Plaza, Buffalo, New York 14202, on July 17, 2017

Present: Hon. Sharon M. LoVallo

In the Matter of

Sophia Couloute,

ORDER

A Child Under Eighteen Years of Age Alleged to be Neglected by

Matthew Couloute, and Lauren Haidon.

Docket No.: NN-2494-17

Respondents.

Upon the Order to Show Cause, granted July 12, 2017; and the annexed affidavit of Matthew Couloute, Jr., Esq., sworn to on July 10, 2017, with exhibits attached thereto; and no opposing papers having been received;

And upon the appearance of Matthew Couloute, Jr., Esq., pro se, in support of the motion, and all parties having consented to the relief requested;

it is hereby

ORDERED, that the motion is granted; and it is further

ORDERED, that there shall be no communication to any person not a party to this proceeding or engaged as counsel for a party to this proceeding, except as set forth below, of the facts and subject matter of this proceeding, or of any information obtained in the course of this proceeding, whether produced in response to discovery demands; submitted in pleadings or other court filings; discussed between counsel or pro se parties, orally or in writing; or orally discussed during courtroom appearances, hearings, conferences or other proceedings; and it is further

ORDERED, that the above prohibition specifically includes, but is not limited to, any posting on social media or any communication for the purposes of publication or discussion in any print or internet publication, including, but not limited to, blogs, emails and videos; and it is further

ORDERED, that any communication to the following recipients, for the purpose of good faith efforts to protect the health and welfare of the subject child or either parent, shall not be a violation of this order: any court, of this State or any other, in the context of pending or contemplated judicial proceedings; any law enforcement agency; any government child welfare agency; or any medical professional for the sole purpose of medical or psychological treatment of the child or either parent; and such other recipients as may be specifically authorized by this Court or agreement of the parties; provided that such communication is not made with the intent of it being shared for publication with any other person; and it is further

ORDERED, that no documents, other than pleadings, produced in connection with this proceeding shall be provided by counsel to their clients, without express permission of the Court, except that it shall not be a violation of this order for counsel to discuss the contents of any document with clients, provided that the clients are not permitted to have copies of those documents; and it is further

ORDERED, that the violation of this Order shall be grounds for the application for an order finding the violator in contempt of court.

Dated: July 18, 2017

Buffalo, New

ENTERED

JUL 18 1717

By Matteliaus clerk

Sharon M. LoVallo

Family Court Judge

Pursuant to section 1113 of the Family Court Act, an appeal must be taken within thirty days of receipt of the order by appellant in court, thirty-five days from the mailing of the order to the appellant by the clerk of the court, or thirty days after service by a party or

law guardian upon the appellant, whichever is earliest. 7/18/17 Mailed To: Respondents; Kelly Ball-AFC; gronne Vertleib, Lauren Creighton-ASS Carl Paladino, Esq. , DSS