## Exhibit A

NO: UWY-FA10-4022991 : SUPERIOR COURT

WILLIAM GROHS

: JUDICIAL DISTRICT

OF WATERBURY

V.

: AT WATERBURY, CONNECTICUT

KELLY GROHS

: AUGUST 10, 2017

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANNA FICETO, JUDGE

## APPEARANCES:

Representing the Plaintiff: DUFFY & FASANO, ATTORNEYS AT LAW Attorney Michael Fasano, Sr. 47 Sherman Hill Rd. Suite B-103 Woodbury, CT '06798

Representing the Defendant: MS. KELLY GROHS, . Self-Represented Party

> Recorded by: Juanita Gibson Transcribed by: Linda Coon, RPR, LSR Court Monitor/Court Reporter II 400 Grand Street Waterbury, CT 06702

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

And the only thing I can think of right now, I'm not changing custody, but I'm going to enter an order that both of you have parental controls on your internet -- on your internet at home so that the children cannot access anything other than -- I don't know the mechanics of how it works, but essentially the kids should have access to the internet for school purposes. You are correct. The kids use Google Chrome, they should be able to use their math programs, reading programs, but that's the extent. So, I'm going to enter that order that you both have parental controls on internet access, that you both supervise the internet access of the children when they are at home. That the children -- you -correct me if I'm wrong, Attorney Brigham. Are there specific orders with regard to providing the other parent information with regard to vacation?

MS. BRIGHAM: I believe there are, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So I'm going to enter an order. If it's not there, I'm going to enter an order that you both, when taking vacation, provide each other with notice as to where you are going, where you are staying, contact information, and that the other person can have daily contact with the children.

These children are not to speak or to meet anybody by the name of Paul Boyne. All right. And

I'm going to make that very clear.

MS. GROHS: Your Honor, may I ask you a question? Attorney Brigham had pointed out Netflix. My children do have Netflix. They have children's Netflix account.

THE COURT: I don't. I'm not posting that.

Because yeah, there are parental controls on that, so that it's age appropriate. So, provided they have internet to the -- they have access to the internet, as long as it's age appropriate. I mean, the internet is a fact of life. Kids use it for school.

But we need -- you need, to monitor what these 'children access on the internet. And the stuff that was introduced today is clearly inappropriate for the children to access. There is no question about that. They shouldn't be accessing that. They shouldn't be seeing it.

And to the extent -- you know, I'm not going to enter an order that's impossible to monitor, but to the extent that you have control over what gets disseminated, and who gets it, and what they do with it, you know, the goal may be to harm the other person but, again, at the end of day, we are here not because we are trying to figure out what's in Miss Grohs' best interest or Mr. Grohs', it's about these children, and that can never -- we can't lose sight of that. And this stuff hurts those children,